

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

TAXATION DIVISION

COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS CASE LIST AND SUMMARY OF ISSUES

April 2005

Table of Contents

Table of Ca	ases	. ix
Franchise 7	Гах	1
	Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and	al
	Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	1
	CTX Mortgage Co., LLC, as Successor in Interest to CTX Mortgage Co., Inc.	v.
	Strayhorn, et al	
	Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson	
	Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	2
	Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson	
	Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	3
	Centex International, Inc., as Successor in Interest to 2728 Holding Corp., as	
	Successor in Interest to Centex Real Estate Corp. v Strayhorn, et al	3
	Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v.	
	Strayhorn, et al	4
	Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v.	
	Rylander, et al	
	Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	5
	Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	
	DaimlerChrysler Services North American, LLC	
	Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
	First Co. v. Rylander, et al	
	Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	INOVA Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	Kellwood Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	
	Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	
	Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al	
	Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., fka Noram Gas Transmission Co. v.	
	Rylander, et al	
	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al	
	Strattec Security Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
	Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Sales Tax		. 15

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	15
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al	
Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al	15
Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Amerada Hess Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	16
American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al	
Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	17
Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	18
B&B Gravel Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	19
BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	19
Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al	
Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	21
Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al	
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	23
C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al	23
Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al	24
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	24
Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn,	
et al	25
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	25
Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al	26
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	26
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	26
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	27
Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	27
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	28
Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas	
Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al	29
Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas	
Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al	
DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	30
ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v.	
Rylander, et al	31
ELC Beauty, LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services Inc. v.	
Strayborn et al	31

ELC Beauty, LLC, as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services Inc. v.	
Strayhorn, et al 3	32
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	32
Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al	3
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	3
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	3
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	34
Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al 3	34
FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al	35
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al	35
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v.	
Rylander, et al	6
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al	
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al	37
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al	37
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	37
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	39
Hollon Oil Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Houston Wire & Cable Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	0
JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	0
JBS Packing Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	1
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy's Korner v. Rylander, et al	12
Kroger Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	2
LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al	13
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v.	
Strayhorn, et al 4	13
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v.	
Strayhorn, et al	4
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al	4
Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v.	
Strayhorn, et al4	4
Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al	5
Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P	
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al 4	6
Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems	
Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al 4	17
Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al	

Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 47
Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems	
Corp. v. Rylander, et al	
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 48
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 49
MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 49
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 50
Maxus Energy Corp. as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Co. v.	
Strayhorn, et al	. 50
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al	. 51
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al	
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 51
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 52
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al	. 52
North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al	. 53
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 53
Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman	
Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al	. 54
Northwestern Resources Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 54
Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al	. 55
R.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller	. 55
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 55
Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v.	
Rylander, et al	. 56
Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas	
Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne	
Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 57
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 57
Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 58
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 58
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Reynolds Metals Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 59
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 60
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc., dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander,	
et al	. 61
SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Sabine Mining Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al	
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al	

	Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 65
,	Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest	
	Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al	
	Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al	
;	Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 66
:	Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al	. 66
	Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
:	Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 67
,	Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston,	50
	Inc.) v. Rylander, et al	. 68
,	Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston,	
	Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al	
	TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 69
,	TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v.	60
,	Rylander, et al	
	TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 70
	Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable,	
	Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas,	70
,	Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	
	Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	
	Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	
	Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al	
	United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al	. 72
	Val-Pak Franchise Operations, Inc. dba Valpak of Houston v. Strayhorn,	70
,	et al	
	West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al	
	White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	
	World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
	Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
	Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	Zimmerman Sign Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Insurance Ta		77
	Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insurance Co.; Allstate	
	Indemnity Co.; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and	
	Casualty Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 77
	American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al	. 77
	American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 78
	Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al	
]	First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 79
]	First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 80
]	Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 80
	Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	
	-	

	Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	. 82
	Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 82
	Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 83
	Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas	
	Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al	. 84
	Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 85
Other Taxes	·	
	Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	
	Armelin, John M. v. City of Houston	
	Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent	
	Executor v. Rylander, et al	. 87
	Beadles, Joe Haven v. Comptroller	
	CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller	
	Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	Comfort ISD v. Comptroller	
	Commerce ISD v. Comptroller	
	ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 91
	Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al	
	El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp	
	Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 92
	Gilani, Fred v. Progressive Amusement, Inc., Craig Byler and Comptroller	
	Glen Rose ISD v. Comptroller	. 93
	Greenville ISD v. Comptroller	. 93
	Harris County, et al. v. John W. Adams, et al	. 93
	Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al	. 94
	MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al	
	Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn	. 95
	McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 95
	Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al	. 96
	Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al	. 96
	Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al	. 96
	Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn	. 97
	Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al	. 97
	Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, Inc., L.L.C. v. Alon USA, LP	. 97
	Robinson, Barbara Cooke, Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al	. 98
	San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn	. 98
	State Farm Fire and Casualty Co. and State Farm Mutual Automobile	
	Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 98
	Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc	
	Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Stravhorn, et al	. 99

	That's Entertainment - San Antonio, LLC dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.	1 100
	Willow Creek Resources, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	100
	Yantis ISD v. Comptroller	101
Closed Cas	ses	103
	Chaparral Steel Co. and Chaparral Steel Midlothian, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al	l 103
	LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	103
	May Department Stores Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	104
	RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al	104
	Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	105
	Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al	105
	Terlingua Common ISD v. Comptroller	106
Index		107

Table of Cases

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	15
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al	15
Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al	15
Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insurance Co.; Allstate Indemnity Co.;	
Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Co. v.	
Strayhorn, et al	
Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	16
Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	87
Amerada Hess Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	16
American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al	77
American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	78
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	78
American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al	17
Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	17
Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral	
Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort	
Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills	
Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	1
Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	18
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	18
Armelin, John M. v. City of Houston	87
Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v.	
Rylander, et al	
B&B Gravel Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	19
Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al	20
Beadles, Joe Haven v. Comptroller	88
Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	20
BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al	
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al	
CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller	89
Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction	

Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	3
Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction	
Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	2
Centex International, Inc., as Successor in Interest to 2728 Holding Corp., as Successor in	
Interest to Centex Real Estate Corp. v Strayhorn, et al	3
Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al	. 24
Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al	4
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Chaparral Steel Co. and Chaparral Steel Midlothian, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al	103
Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 25
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	5
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	. 89
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	5
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	. 25
Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 26
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 26
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 27
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 26
Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 27
Comfort ISD v. Comptroller	. 89
Commerce ISD v. Comptroller	. 90
ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 91
ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	. 28
CTX Mortgage Co., LLC, as Successor in Interest to CTX Mortgage Co., Inc. v.	
Strayhorn, et al.	2
Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al	
DaimlerChrysler Services North American, LLC	
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	6
Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited	
Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al	. 29
Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited	
Partnership v. Rylander, et al.	
DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	
Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al.	
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	
El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp	
ELC Beauty, LLC, as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	
ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 31

ELC Beauty, LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	31
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	34
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	33
Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al	34
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v. Rylander, et al	36
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al	35
Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al	79
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	80
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	79
First Co. v. Rylander, et al	8
Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	92
FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al	35
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al	36
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al	37
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al	37
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	37
Gilani, Fred v. Progressive Amusement, Inc., Craig Byler and Comptroller	92
Glen Rose ISD v. Comptroller	
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	38
Greenville ISD v. Comptroller	93
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	38
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	39
Harris County, et al. v. John W. Adams, et al	93
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	39
Hollon Oil Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	39
Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	8
Houston Wire & Cable Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	40
Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	9
INOVA Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	9
J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	41
JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	40
JBS Packing Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	41
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al	41
Kellwood Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	
Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy's Korner v. Rylander, et al	42
Kroger Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	42
LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	103
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al	
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	43
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al	44
Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al	44

Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al	45
Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P	
Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	80
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al	46
Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al	46
Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al	47
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and	
Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al	47
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	48
Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander,	
et al	48
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al	47
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	49
LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al	43
Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn	95
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	50
Maxus Energy Corp. as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	50
May Department Stores Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	104
McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	95
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al	
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	
MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al	94
MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al	
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.	
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al	
North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al	
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	53
Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought	
Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al	
Northwestern Resources Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al	
Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al	
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al	
Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn	
R.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller	
RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	
Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, Inc., L.L.C. v. Alon USA, LP	97

Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al	97
Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems,	
Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	57
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	58
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	59
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	57
Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	56
Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	58
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	55
Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al	11
Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., fka Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al.	
Reynolds Metals Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	60
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	60
Robinson, Barbara Cooke, Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al	98
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	61
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc., dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al	61
Sabine Mining Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	62
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al	62
San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn	
SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	61
Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	63
Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 105
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	63
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al	64
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al	
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 105
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated	
Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.	
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al	83
State Farm Fire and Casualty Co. and State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. v.	
Strayhorn, et al	
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al	
STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Strattec Security Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	67
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v.	
Strayhorn, et al	
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	67
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v.	

Rylander, et al	. 68
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 69
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al	. 69
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 70
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA	
Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 70
Terlingua Common ISD v. Comptroller	106
Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc	. 99
Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 71
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 13
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al	
Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al	. 99
TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 12
That's Entertainment - San Antonio, LLC dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al	100
Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 71
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al	. 72
Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas	. 84
Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al	. 84
Val-Pak Franchise Operations, Inc. dba Valpak of Houston v. Strayhorn, et al	. 72
Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 85
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al	. 73
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 73
Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	. 73
Willow Creek Resources, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 74
Yantis ISD v. Comptroller	101
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Zimmerman Sign Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 75

Franchise Tax

Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-12183

#03-03-00458-CV #05-0063 AG Case #991227646

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/18/99

Period: 1993-1996 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jan Soifer

Amount: \$407,212.91 Brim, Arnett, Soifer, \$107,861.97 Robinett, Hanner &

Connors Austin

Susan A. Kidwell Locke, Liddell & Sapp

Austin

Issue: Whether income earned on Plaintiff's trust accounts for prepaid funeral services gives rise to Texas gross receipts.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment held 04/10/03; granted in favor of the State 06/24/03. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 07/31/03. Appellants' brief filed 09/18/03. Appellees' brief filed 10/24/03. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/12/03. Oral Argument completed 01/07/04. Appellees' post-submission brief filed 01/22/04. Appellants' reply brief filed 02/06/04. Opinion issued 08/12/04 in favor of State affirming the district court's judgment. Motion for Rehearing filed 10/01/04; overruled 12/09/04. Petition for Review filed in Texas Supreme Court 01/24/05. Respondents filed waiver to respond 02/02/05. Case forwarded to Court 02/08/05. Court requested a response to the Petition for Review, due 04/04/05. Respondent filed a response to the Petition for Review 03/31/05.

CTX Mortgage Co., LLC, as Successor in Interest to CTX Mortgage Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300145

AG Case #031738131

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 01/15/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 1992-1994 Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$6,482.90 Jones Day
Dallas

Issue: Whether application of the requirement of documentation that officers do not participate in significant policy-making aspects of the corporation is retroactive and unconstitutional. Whether different treatment of banks and mortgage companies violates equal protection. Whether Plaintiff's vice presidents and others should not be included in the officer add-back provision of the franchise tax. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301292

AG Case #031787153

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 04/23/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 1992-1995 Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$191,167.76 Jones Day

Dallas

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301293

AG Case #031787161

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 04/23/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: **David Cowling** Period: 1996 Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$48,729.67 Jones Day Dallas

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Centex International, Inc., as Successor in Interest to 2728 Holding Corp., as Successor in Interest to Centex Real Estate Corp. v Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400903

AG Case #041941147

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/17/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: **David Cowling** Robert Lochridge Period: 1992-1995

Amount: \$634,494.07 Jones Day

Dallas

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301277

AG Case #031787146

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 04/22/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 1997-2000 Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$96,248.92 Jones Day
Dallas

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100332

AG Case #011409646

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 02/01/01

Period: 1988-1994 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$300,772.95 Ray Langenberg

\$204,616.25 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether inclusion of access charges in Texas' gross receipts violates Comptroller rules on franchise tax treatment of interstate telephone receipts. Whether inclusion of the charges violates equal protection.

Status: DWOP notice sent by court 03/29/05.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401579

AG Case #041972456

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 05/17/04

Period: 1987-1999 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$44,063,913.00 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg
R. Eric Hagenswold
Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may compute surplus using an alternative GAAP method of calculating impairment. Whether Plaintiff may use business loss carry-forward as a deduction to taxable earned surplus. Whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's pushdown adjustments. Whether environmental reserves should be calculated as taxable capital surplus. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing credit.

Status: Answer filed.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500170

AG Case #052091378

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 01/18/05

Period: 1988-1991, 1995, Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

1996 and 1999 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$5,000,000.00 Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether abandonment costs of oil and gas properties can be excluded from surplus as contra-asset accounts for depreciation, depletion and amortization under GAAP guidelines. Whether Plaintiff may change its accounting methods used to calculate surplus within a four year period. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation and equal protection.

Status: Discovery in progress.

DaimlerChrysler Services North American, LLC Cause #GN401380

AG Case #041965591

Franchise Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 04/30/04

Period: 1988 through Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

1991

Ray Langenberg Matthew J. Meese Amount: \$2,123,382.74

Scott, Douglass & **McConnico**

Austin

Issue: How should proceeds from the sale of accounts receivables, including retail and wholesale, be calculated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether plaintiff's accounts receivables are capital assets or investments. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller's use of the net gain method instead of the gross receipts method in calculating plaintiff's total gross receipts for franchise tax apportionment purposes violates the Texas Tax Code, the Comptroller's rules, Comptroller policy, and the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Answer filed.

Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300878

AG Case #031770621

Franchise Tax: Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/19/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Cynthia M. Ohlenforst

Period: 1992-1995 Tracy D. Eaton

Amount: \$1,646,637 Dallas

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base is an unconstitutional tax on the income of natural persons. Whether the shareholder limit for the add-back is arbitrary, unreasonable and discriminatory. Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304213

AG Case #031879356

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 10/28/03

Period: 1999 - 2001 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$2,278,308.75 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether severance pay and merger expenses were improperly included in Plaintiff's apportionment factor. Whether other income was improperly sourced or included. Whether certain deductions were erroneously disallowed. Plaintiff also seeks waiver of all penalty and interest.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301003

#03-05-00144-CV AG Case #031778939

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 03/28/03

Amount: \$3,000,000

Period: 1989-1991 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may use the successful efforts method of accounting. Whether revenue should be recognized when it is billed rather than when it is booked. Whether unamortized loss on reacquired debt may be expensed. Whether certain accounts should be removed from surplus because they had zero balances. Whether Plaintiff's apportionment factor should be reduced for receipts from gas not picked up or delivered in Texas.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing held 08/24/04; taken under advisement. Both motions granted in part and denied in part. Judgment entered 02/24/05. Notices of Appeal filed 03/08/05. Appellants' briefs due 04/25/05.

First Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200229

AG Case #021556980

Franchise Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 01/24/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens
Period: 1996 through
1999 Christina A. Mondrik
James F. Martens &

Amount: \$1,919,109 Associates

Austin

Issue: Whether the throwback rule is unconstitutional and violates P.L. 86-272. Whether apportionment under the throwback rule, when compared to a separate accounting method, creates such a gross disparity in taxable income as to be unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery suspended.

Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303185

#03-04-00660-CV AG Case #031842420

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 08/25/03

Period: 1992-1999 Plaintiff's Counsel: Daniel L. Butcher Amount: \$16,085,391.00 Strasburger & Price

Dallas

Farley P. Katz Strasburger & Price San Antonio

Issue: Whether the Texas throwback provision, Tax Code §171.1032, is unconstitutional in violation of the Due Process, Commerce, Supremacy, and Equal Protection Clauses.

Status: Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment held 09/21/04. Court granted Defendants' MSJ 09/30/04. Notice of Appeal filed 10/20/04. Clerk's Record filed 11/22/04. Appellant's brief filed 01/24/05. Supplemental Clerk's Record filed 02/11/05. Appellees' brief filed 03/25/05. Oral Argument set 05/25/05.

Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302603

AG Case #031831746

Franchise Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 07/24/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Cynthia M. Ohlenforst

Period: 1999 G. James Landon Amount: \$47,775.25 J. Blake Rice

Hughes & Luce

Dallas

Issue: Whether an S corporation owned by an ESOP owes franchise tax when the shareholder has no income reportable to the IRS as taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

INOVA Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302862

#03-04-00503-CV AG Case #031836471

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/11/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Period: 1999 through Stahl, Bernal & Davies

2003 Austin

Amount: \$4,658

Issue: Whether taxpayer has nexus with Texas. Whether the capital- based franchise tax is measured by net income for purposes of P.L. 86-272. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully forfeited plaintiff's corporate privileges. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Non-jury trial held 07/13/04 and Judgment granted for State. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed 07/21/04. Notice of Appeal filed 08/16/04. Clerk's Record filed 11/05/04. Appellant's brief filed 12/29/04. Appellees' brief filed 02/16/05. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 03/31/05. Oral Argument set 04/13/05.

Kellwood Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500508

AG Case #052102654

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 02/16/05

Period: 2001-2003 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet
Amount: \$129,355.44 Jason Flaherty

Jenkens & Gilchrist

Austin

Issue: How should pension reversion gain be allocated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Is the pension reversion gain non-unitary or unitary earned surplus income. Whether Plaintiff's pension reversion gain should be calculated with Plaintiff's Texas gross receipts. What methodology the Comptroller should apply to not distort the amount of taxable earned surplus apportionable to Texas. Plaintiff also claims violation of the Due Process and Commerce Clauses of the US Constitution and the Due Course of Law provision of the Texas Constitution.

Status: Answer filed.

Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003174

AG Case #001375450

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 10/31/00

Period: 1994-1997 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III

Amount: \$4,006,942.39 Jay M. Chadha

Fulbright & Jaworski

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's Rule 3.555(g)(3), which denies a carry forward of business losses of a merged corporation by the surviving corporation, is an unconstitutional retroactive law or a violation of Texas and Delaware statutes on mergers. Whether compensation of officers and directors should have been added back to Plaintiff's income and whether doing so violates constitutional equal taxation requirements. Whether some receipts were incorrectly treated as Texas receipts. Whether surplus calculation by the Comptroller should have excluded increases from push-down accounting. Whether failure to waive penalties and interest was arbitrary. Whether the audit has calculation errors. Whether the Comptroller's determination and decision violate equal protection, due process, and other constitutional provisions.

Status: Non-jury trial held 12/14/04. Court granted judgment for the Comptroller on 01/19/05. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law signed.

Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN103935 AG Case #011532348

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 11/28/01

Period: 1998 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith Amount: \$2,581,013.52 David H. Gilliland

Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff may use business loss carry- forward from non-surviving corporation in merger to reduce its franchise tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., fka Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-08127

AG Case #991187675

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 07/15/99

Period: 1996 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith
Amount: \$163,758.10 David H. Gilliland

David II. Ollilland

Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether a business loss carry-forward of a merged corporation may be used to reduce the surviving corporation's franchise tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204559

AG Case #031730666

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 12/20/02

Period: 1996-1999; 2001 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$25,000,000.00 Ray Langenberg

Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether interstate access revenues are Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Whether treating the revenues as Texas receipts violates the Comptroller's Rule on interstate calls and the due process, equal protection and commerce clauses of the Constitution. Whether other interstate call revenues in border areas are not Texas receipts.

Status: First Amended Original Petition adding 2001 final report filed.

Strattec Security Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401136

AG Case #041954496

Franchise Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 04/08/04

Period: 07/03/95-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

06/29/99

Ray Langenberg Amount: \$1,165,345 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether gross receipts from the sales of locksets are Texas receipts. Whether the throwback rule was correctly applied to Plaintiff's receipts. Plaintiff claims violation of the commerce clause.

Status: Case settled.

TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500637

AG Case #052114220

Franchise Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 03/01/05

1,422,008.76

Period: 1997-2000 Plaintiff's Counsel: R. Scott Wolfrom

2001-2003 Jones, Walker, Waechter,

Amount: \$390,471.26 Poitevent, Carrère &

> Denègre, LLP The Woodlands

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's gross receipts should be treated as receipts from intangibles apportioned based on the location of the payor or the location of the alleged use of data. Whether the transfer of seismic data is a "license" or the transfer of an intangible for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-14555

AG Case #991249228

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 12/15/99

Period: 1994 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$1,028,616.15 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit for sales tax on manufacturing equipment purchased by a joint venture that it co-owned.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302279

AG Case #031818966

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 06/27/03

Period: 1992-1997 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$4,462,424.56 Ray Langenberg

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may re-state asset values for franchise tax purposes by using straight-line depreciation after it used accelerated depreciation to reduce asset values for federal income and franchise tax purposes before report year 1992. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived because Plaintiff's affiliates had overpayments during the audit period that could have been credited to Plaintiff's deficiencies.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402433

AG Case #041999269

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 07/30/04

Period: 1997-1999 Plaintiff's Counsel: David H. Gilliland

Amount: \$754,178.16 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether revenue received from third-party cable television system operators is revenue earned from licensing or from the service of producing, creating, editing, packaging and transmitting 24-hour-per day network programming performed out-of-state. Should revenue from providing these services be considered Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Plaintiff also claims violation of due process and the Commerce Clause.

Status: Trial set 07/25/05.

Sales Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403369

AG Case #042046367

Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 10/08/04

Period: 04/01/93-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

09/30/96

Ray Langenberg Amount: \$299,328.98 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of bookkeeping software installed on computers located out-ofstate and subsequently shipped to stores in-state qualifies for the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress.

AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN300091

AG Case #031735236

Natalie McLemore Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 01/10/03

Period: 06/01/97-Plaintiff's Counsel: Christopher Malish Foster & Malish 11/30/00

Amount: \$45,658.15 Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff should have been assessed interest and penalty.

Status: Answer filed.

Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103463

AG Case #011514544

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 10/19/01

Period: 11/01/92-Plaintiff's Counsel: W. Stephen Benesh

12/31/97 Deanna E. King

Bracewell & Patterson Amount: \$929,964.11

Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff's leases were financing leases and not taxable operating leases under Comptroller Rule 3.294(i). Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed. Alternatively, whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-12998

#03-03-0643-CV #04-0785 AG Case #981080526

Sales Tax; Protest Blake Hawthorne Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 11/20/98

Period: 1994-1998 Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen D. Good Amount: \$31,128.62

Gregory A. Harwell Gardere & Wynne

Dallas

Issue: Whether Alpine may be regarded as a seller for direct sales made in Texas by independent dealers and whether holding Alpine liable for sales tax violates the commerce clause, due process or equal protection.

Status: Trial held 07/28/03. Summary Judgment, including counter-claim, granted for Comptroller 07/18/03. Final judgment entered 08/15/03. Motion for new trial filed 08/18/03. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 10/20/03. Appellant's brief filed 02/02/04. Appellees' brief filed 04/02/04. Oral Argument held 04/14/04. Third COA affirmed District Court's Judgment 07/15/04. Petition for Review filed in Tx. Supreme Court 09/29/04. Response filed by Respondents 11/18/04. Petitioner's reply brief filed 12/06/04. On 12/17/04 Court requested briefs on the merits. Petitioner's brief on the merits filed 01/31/05. Respondents' brief on the merits filed 02/18/05. Petitioner's reply brief on the merits filed 03/08/05. Petition denied 04/08/05.

Amerada Hess Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402614

AG Case #042005314

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 08/13/04

Period: 01/01/90-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/95 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$44,500.00

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether submersible pumps, motors, separators, couplings and related down hole equipment are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether certain benefits of a membership fee cause the fee to be taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06374

AG Case #991175084

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 06/03/99

Period: 1992-1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Amount: \$467,142.31 Stahl, Bernal & Davies

Austin

Issue: Whether materials are provided by Plaintiff to its customers in the course of its motor vehicle repairs under lump sum contracts, requiring Plaintiff to pay tax on the cost of materials. If Plaintiff's contracts are lump sum, whether Plaintiff is entitled to credit for tax collected from its customers and remitted to the Comptroller. Whether software services are taxable when the seller of the services contributes rather than sells the software itself. Whether software services are exempt under §151.346 as sales between affiliated entities of previously exempt services. Whether interest should have been waived. Whether any of the above issues result in a denial of equal protection, equal and uniform taxation or due process under the federal and state constitutions.

Status: Case settled.

Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400421 AG Case #041921966

AG Case #041921900

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 02/11/04

Period: 07/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

03/31/98 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$28,353.00 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether industrial solid waste removal is exempt as a real property service.

Status: Answer filed.

Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300886

AG Case #031770605

Sales Tax: Protest Jim Cloudt Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 03/19/03

Plaintiff's Counsel: Period: 10/01/91-Tom Tourtellotte 09/30/98 Hance Scarborough

Wright Woodward & Amount: \$285,284.13

Weisbart Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff performed its repairs under lump-sum contracts. Plaintiff also challenges the constitutionality of Rider 11.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial setting of 09/20/04 passed by agreement.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03527

AG Case #98930349

Sales Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Plaintiff's Counsel: Period: 04/01/90-David E. Cowling

Jones Day 03/31/94

Dallas Amount: \$291,196

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into

Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #0000384

AG Case #001273051

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 02/11/00

Period: 04/01/94-Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling 12/31/97

Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$281,676.36 Jones Day **Dallas**

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

B&B Gravel Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302323

AG Case #031831712

Sales Tax; Administrative Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Appeal

Filed: 07/01/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard S. Browne Period: 11/01/95- George D. Gordon 07/31/99 Baggett, Gordon &

Amount: \$99,094.58 Deison

Conroe

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the liability assessed is inconsistent with the ALJ's decision and seeks review under the APA.

Status: Discovery in progress. To be dismissed.

BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301224

AG Case #031786478

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 04/17/03

Period: 01/01/99- Plaintiff's Counsel: Kal Malik

07/31/02 Robert N. LeMay

Amount: \$28,407.44 Kane, Russell, Coleman

& Logan Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is a lump-sum repairer of motor vehicles who should have paid tax on its purchases of oil and filters. Whether charging tax to the Plaintiff results in unconstitutional double taxation.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff has made a settlement offer.

Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-

02389

AG Case #95234990

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Judgment

Filed: 2/27/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Timothy M. Trickey Period: 04/01/88- The Trickey Law Firm

06/30/92 Austin

Amount: \$63,588

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on maid services provided by maids placed by Plaintiff's service but acting as independent contractors. Also, whether Plaintiff relied, to her detriment, on advice from the Comptroller's Office.

Status: Discovery in progress. Motion to Compel passed on 01/06/05.

Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-01092

AG Case #991112186

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 01/29/99

Period: 01/01/91- Plaintiff's Counsel: Timothy M. Trickey 12/31/94 The Trickey Law Firm

Amount: \$81,571.73 Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer's sub-contract was a separated contract since the general contractor's construction contract was separated.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution 06/17/03. Motion to Reinstate granted. Negotiating an Agreed Scheduling Order.

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200525

AG Case #021567755

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Amount: \$7,280,079

Filed: 02/15/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Period: 01/01/90- Stahl, Bernal & Davies

06/30/93 Austin
07/01/93-06/30/97

Page 20

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government.

Status: Answer filed.

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN204437

AG Case #041927062

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/11/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Period: 07/01/97- Stahl, Bernal & Davies

05/31/02 Austin

Amount: \$3,000,000

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401955 AG Case #041988023

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 06/21/04

Period: 12/01/88- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

05/31/95 Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$3,750,000.00 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203340

AG Case #021676804

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 09/13/02

Period: 01/01/95- Plaintiff's Counsel: David H. Gilliland

12/31/96 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Amount: \$343,487 Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304372

AG Case #031884471

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 11/10/03

Period: 01/01/95- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 12/31/99 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$500,000 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400552

AG Case #041928532

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 02/20/04

Period: 01/01/02- Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard C. Bonart

12/31/02 (Pro Se) Amount: \$50.00 El Paso

Issue: Whether microchips implanted in animals are exempt as health care supplies and as a therapeutic appliance or device. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal and uniform protection.

Status: Answer filed.

Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103568

AG Case #011518479

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/26/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: William E. Bailey

Period: 01/01/91- Dallas

12/31/97

Amount: \$200,000

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's broadcast services are non-taxable information services under §151.0038(a). Whether Plaintiff's services are not taxable telecommunications services under §151.0103(l) or data processing under §151.0035. Whether the sale or use of Plaintiff's services occurred out-of-state. Whether Plaintiff's experts demonstrated that Plaintiff is exempt under federal law. Plaintiff asserts limitations as to part of the liability and also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002428

AG Case #001344233

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 08/18/00

Period: 04/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: William T. Peckham

12/31/97 Austin

Amount: \$207,454.40

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on its sales of limestone to third parties under §151.311(a). Whether Plaintiff detrimentally relied on advice from the Comptroller's Office. Whether exemption certificates covered some sales that were assessed tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption under §151.318(g). Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 09/12/05.

Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-11455

AG Case #96602037

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 09/20/96

Period: 07/01/86- Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith

12/31/89 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Amount: \$32,788 Austin

Issue: Whether utility pole replacement services are non-taxable maintenance or taxable

repair labor.

Status: Discovery in progress. Inactive.

Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204506

AG Case #031729197

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 12/16/02

Period: 01/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/97 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$210,943.91 Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether items such as boxes, foam pads and twist ties are not subject to tax pursuant to Tex. Tax Code §151.011 (f)(2) and Rule 3.346 (c)(l)(c) when purchased by a person who uses the items to secure jewelry for shipment out-of-state.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff to submit Motion for Summary Judgment.

Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN304712 AG Case #031899016

Sales Tax; Refund Jim Cloudt Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 12/12/03

Period: 07/01/91-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

09/30/97

Ray Langenberg 01/01/92-09/30/97 Matthew J. Meese Scott, Douglass & Amount: \$683,979.99

\$220,773.61 **McConnico**

Austin

Issue: Whether installation of cathodic protection devices was new construction or maintenance. Whether excavation and back-filling were non-taxable unrelated services. Whether pipe replacement and recoating was non-taxable maintenance.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial held 03/23/05. Judgment for the Comptroller.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403978

AG Case #042071324

Sales Tax: Refund Jim Cloudt Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 12/06/04

Period: 01/01/93-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

06/30/96 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$10,000,000.00 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass & **McConnico**

Austin

Issue: Whether charges of contractors for erecting, maintaining and dismantling scaffolding are exempt from sales and use tax as a non-taxable service, or taxable as rental of tangible personal property.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000525

AG Case #001258201

Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 01/12/00

Period: 10/01/90-Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert C. Alden

12/31/93

Phillip L. Sampson, Jr. Bracewell & Patterson Amount: \$64,868.50

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on promotional materials shipped from out-of-state. Whether the Comptroller's imposition of use tax is invalid because Plaintiff made no use of the materials in Texas. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid. Whether the tax violates the Commerce and Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution.

Status: Answer filed.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03533

AG Case #98930330

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 04/01/90-Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

03/31/94 Jones Day Dallas Amount: \$519,192

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000376

AG Case #001273069

Sales Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 02/11/00

Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling Period: 04/01/94-03/31/98 Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$650,361.82 Jones Day

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500049

AG Case #052085933

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 01/06/05 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling

Period: 04/01/98- Maryann E. Landrigan

03/31/02 Jones Day Amount: \$654,245.96 Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03540

AG Case #98930321

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 01/01/89- Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III 06/30/89 Fulbright & Jaworski

06/30/89 Fulbrigh 07/01/89-12/31/91 Houston

0//01/89-12/31/91 Houston Amount: \$1,635,965

> Joe W. Cox Coastal States Management Corp.

Houston

Issue: Whether certain work performed by Plaintiff is new construction under a lump sum contract and thus not taxable.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff has submitted settlement offer.

Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302009

AG Case #031816135

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 06/09/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 07/01/96- Robert Lochridge

12/31/98 Jones Day Amount: \$1,322,536.67 Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on items transferred free of charge that are subsequently brought into Texas. Plaintiff specifically challenges whether: 1) "use" includes distribution; 2) use was only out-of-state where control transferred; 3) longstanding policy may be changed; 4) Rule 3.346 does not support tax on promotional materials; 5) use tax applies without title or possession; 6) no consideration for transfer; 7) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid; 8) tax is bared by Commerce, Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses; and 9) resale exemption applies. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400439

AG Case #041925868

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 02/13/04

Period: 02/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/96 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$1,642,267.15 Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of janitorial and building maintenance services being resold under a lease agreement are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of mechanical maintenance services were exempt as taxable services purchased in the performance of a real property contract for an exempt entity.

Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203937

AG Case #021703947

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 10/30/02

Period: 07/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 01/31/96 Ray Langenberg

02/01/96-11/30/96 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$1,100,000+ Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, and industrial solid waste disposal. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied a franchise tax credit to the assessed amount.

Status: Answer filed.

Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304838 (Consolidated with Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al., Cause #GN203937)
AG Case #041904590

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 12/23/03

Period: 07/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

01/31/96 Ray Langenberg 02/01/96-11/30/96 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$1,172,784.29 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, industrial solid waste disposal, and sale for resale items.

Status: Motion to consolidate cases granted 11/23/04. See *Dillard's Inc.*, aka *Dillard Department Stores*, *Inc.*, and *Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander*, et al., Cause No. GN203937.

DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303695

#03-04-00822-CV AG Case #031855117

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/12/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Larry F. York Period: 01/01/96- Susan F. Gusky

10/31/97 York, Keller & Field

Amount: \$299,987.35 Austin

Jennifer K. Patterson

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of a cleanroom should have been an exempt sale for resale. Whether the lease of the cleanroom was incidental to the lease of the building in which it was housed and whether Rule 3.294(k)(1) is invalid. Whether the Comptroller's final decision is arbitrary and violates due process, equal and uniform taxation, and equal protection. Whether Rider 11 is unconstitutional as: (1) an amendment to substantive law; (2) a violation of due process, equal protection and open courts; and (3) an unconstitutional taking. Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees and demands a jury trial.

Status: Discovery in progress. Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 09/23/04. Rule upheld. Both Motions denied. Trial Judgment signed 11/29/04. Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiff 12/17/04. Appellant's brief due 03/07/05. Appellees' brief due 04/13/05.

EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200906

AG Case #021579578

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/19/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Period: 04/94-03/31/98 Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$123,440.25 Doug Sigel

Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203514

AG Case #021681226

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/26/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 01/01/98- Robert Lochridge

12/31/00 Jones Day Amount: \$284,508.69 Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

ELC Beauty, LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Origins Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500047

AG Case #052085966

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 01/06/05 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling

Period: 03/01/98- Maryann E. Landrigan

06/30/01 Jones Day Amount: \$750,946.09 Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

ELC Beauty, LLC, as a Successor-in-Interest to Estee Lauder Services Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500048

AG Case #052085990

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 01/06/05 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling

Period: 07/01/99- Maryann E. Landrigan

06/30/01 Jones Day Amount: \$586,255.47 Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights under the Commerce and Due Process Clauses, and right to equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003589

AG Case #0011395316

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 12/15/00

Period: 01/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Rudy de la Garza

12/31/96 Brownsville

Amount: \$83,138.14

Issue: Whether sales of grocery bags and sacks are not taxable when sold to grocery stores who have provided a blanket sale for resale certificate. Plaintiff also complains of audit calculation errors.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 06/25/04. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/08/04. Motion to Reinstate filed 08/29/04; granted 10/04/04. Plaintiff's Partial Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 11/23/04; denied. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment granted in full 01/21/05. Final judgment being prepared.

Ebrahim, Suleiman S. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500567

AG Case #052113388

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Judgment

Filed: 02/22/05 Plaintiff's Counsel: Lynn Hamilton Butler

Period: 01/01/96- Robert L. Spurck

02/25/02 Brown McCarroll, LLP

Amount: \$43,847.15 Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for sales tax assessed against his father's business. Plaintiff

also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03525

AG Case #98930358

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 01/01/89- Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

09/30/92 Jones Day Amount: \$472,225 Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into

Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03524

AG Case #98930367

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 10/01/92- Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

03/31/96 Jones Day Amount: \$748,773 Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into

Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial set 11/07/05.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101312

AG Case #011439874

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 05/01/01

Period: 04/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling 06/30/99 Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$614,814.78 Jones Day

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into

Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304779

AG Case #041904616

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 12/18/03

Period: 01/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/99 Doug Sigel

01/01/94-12/31/95 Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff leased real property not subject to the sales and use tax.

Status: Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment hearing set 11/04/04 was passed.

Settlement negotiations in progress.

F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN002724 AG Case #001353960

Sales Tax; Injunction Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 09/15/00

Period: 12/01/90- Plaintiff's Counsel: Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt

11/30/97 Law Offices of Percy L. Amount: \$360,671.05 "Wayne" Isgitt, P.C.

Houston

nousion

Issue: Whether Comptroller's "estimated audit" is invalid. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to an injunction of collection and of cancellation of their sales tax permits. Whether Tax Code §§112.051, 112.052, 112.101 and 112.108 are unconstitutional violations of the open courts provision. Plaintiffs seek a re-audit and a refund of money paid under protest in excess of the re-audited amount.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing postponed.

FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102724

AG Case #011492857

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 08/22/01

Period: 10/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 06/30/98 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$51,832.31 Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's boxes and packing materials are exempt as items shipped out-of-state. Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection.

Status: Discovery in progress. Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment held 02/22/05. Summary Judgment granted for State.

Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-02407 (Consolidated with *Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al.* Cause #GN200563)
AG Case #98914152

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 03/05/98

Period: 10/01/90- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

04/30/93 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$328,829 Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of coin-operated as well as non-coin operated games are purchased for resale. Whether sales tax constitutes double taxation on machines on which occupation tax is paid and on non-coin games, admission to which is taxed. Advertising and sewing services are not taxable.

Status: See Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #GN200563.

Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v. Rylander,

et al. Cause #GN200563 AG Case #021567789

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 02/20/02

Period: 05/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

 03/01/96
 Ray Langenberg

 03/01/96-02/28/98
 Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$592,759.97 McConnico \$349,933.08 Austin

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of coin-operated as well as non-coin operated games are purchased for resale. Whether sales tax constitutes double taxation on machines on which occupation tax is paid and on non-coin games, admission to which is taxed. Advertising and sewing services are not taxable. Whether the assessment against Fiesta was outside limitations.

Status: Case settled.

Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-07607

AG Case #981001886

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 07/17/98

Period: 01/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen P. Dillon 09/30/95 Lindeman & Dillon

Amount: \$83,910 Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used the proper sampling procedure and whether Plaintiff was correctly notified of the procedure to be used.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial setting passed by agreement. Inactive.

General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201322

AG Case #021598057

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 04/22/02

Period: 09/01/88- Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

11/30/91 Matthew G. Grimmer Amount: \$7,000,000 Jenkens & Gilchrist

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201323

AG Case #021598073

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 04/22/02

Period: 12/01/91- Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

02/28/93 Matthew G. Grimmer Amount: \$4,500,000 Jenkens & Gilchrist

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102934

AG Case #011492865

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 09/05/01

Period: 10/91-03/97 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

Amount: \$359,929.22 Matthew G. Grimmer

Jenkens & Gilchrist

Austin

Issue: Whether additional resale certificates should have been accepted for Plaintiff's sales of boxes and packaging materials.

Status: Plaintiff to make settlement offer.

Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-01795

AG Case #97682966

Sales Tax: Protest Natalie McLemore Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 02/13/97

Period: 01/01/88-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/91

Ray Langenberg Amount: \$107,667 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether the sample audit resulted in a correct assessment.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress.

Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN300904

AG Case #031782931

Sales Tax: Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/20/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

Period: 06/01/95-Attorney at Law

05/31/98 Austin

Amount: \$79,688.23

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11574

AG Case #981063332

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/13/98

Period: 07/01/90- Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

12/31/93 Jones Day Amount: \$1,076,019 Dallas

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss by court held 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01; granted order to retain 08/14/01 on DWOP, again on 07/25/02, and again 01/16/03.

Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-14786

AG Case #91164788

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 10/18/91

Period: 01/01/87 - Plaintiff's Counsel: John D. Bell

03/31/90 Wood, Boykin & Wolter

Amount: \$62,465 Corpus Christi

Issue: Whether predominant use of electricity from Plaintiff's meter is exempt. Whether burden of proof in administrative hearing should be clear and convincing evidence or preponderance of the evidence.

Status: Special exceptions and answer filed.

Hollon Oil Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303895

AG Case #031866668

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 09/30/03

Period: 01/01/99- Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. 12/31/02 Stahl, Bernal & Davies

Amount: \$144,937.05 Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales and use tax on materials which Plaintiff purchased for installation in customers' vehicles. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a credit for sales tax collected from customers for said materials.

Status: Answer filed.

Houston Wire & Cable Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500581

AG Case #052113057

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 02/23/05

Period: 08/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: Jerry L. Starkey

12/31/01 Houston

Amount: \$160,596.03

Issue: Whether wire, cable and reels purchased, customized and sold to wholesalers as non-returnable are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption and sale-for-resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203450

AG Case #021681218

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 09/20/02

Period: 01/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: W. Stephen Benesh

08/31/99 James E. Boice

Amount: \$1,046,033.09 Bracewell & Patterson

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller assessed tax on transactions that were sales for resale or on which use tax had already been paid.

Status: Discovery in progress.

JBS Packing Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402498

AG Case #042003590

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 08/05/04

Period: 12/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mike Cichowski

12/31/99 Port Arthur

Amount: \$1,820.48

Issue: Whether parts and services for an ice machine, a hydro-blasting machine, and for a steam cleaning machine are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Settlement offer made.

J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300883

AG Case #031770613

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/19/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 01/01/91- Robert Lochridge

03/31/93 Jones Day Amount: \$951,802.17 Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on paper, ink and the printing of catalogs printed outof-state. Whether local use tax in McAllen, Texas applies to Plaintiff's aircraft. Alternatively, whether the printing service is performed outside Texas. Whether a sales and use tax on the catalogs violates the Commerce Clause, due process or equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101492

AG Case #011451598

Sales Tax; Refund and Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/16/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Steve M. Williard

Period: 12/01/92 through L. Don Knight

03/31/97 Meyer, Knight &

Amount: \$43,121.45 Williams
Houston

Issue: Whether plaintiff's sale of cookies and brownies is taxable under Tax Code §151.314 and Comptroller Rule 3.293. Plaintiff also seeks review under the Administrative Procedures Act and the UDJA, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Amended Petition filed. Discovery in progress.

Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy's Korner v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN202992 AG Case #021663539

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Judgment

Filed: 08/22/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gary G. Kennedy

Period: N/A (Pro Se)
Amount: \$N/A Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may enjoin fraud audit subpoena and suspension of his sales and mixed beverage permits.

Status: Counter-claim filed. Taxpayer filed bankruptcy 10/15/03.

Kroger Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403582

AG Case #042058032

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 10/28/04

Period: 01/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

06/30/97 Attorney at Law

Amount: \$366,142.79 Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used in a manufacturing process is exempt from sales tax. Whether the manufacturing process used by Plaintiff results in a physical change to tangible personal property being resold.

LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203321

AG Case #021676770

Sales Tax: Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/13/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Alan E. Sherman, Esq.

Period: 06/01/86-Dallas

08/31/92

Amount: \$8,576,046

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and that the incidence of the tax falls on the federal government. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller violated the commerce clause by failing to follow title-passing regulations and also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300575

AG Case #031759657

Sales Tax: Refund Blake Hawthorne Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 02/21/03

Period: 05/01/93-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

06/30/96

Ray Langenberg 10/01/91-06/30/96 Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass & 01/01/90-12/31/92

McConnico 07/01/91-06/30/96 Amount: \$6,726 Austin

\$591,086

Issue: Whether post-mix machines qualify for manufacturing tax exemption. Whether some of the machines also qualify for the sale for resale exemption, because plaintiff received consideration even if not valued in money.

Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn,

et al. Cause #GN401379 AG Case #041964941

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 04/30/04

01/01/90-12/31/92

Period: 05/01/93-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 06/30/96 Ray Langenberg 10/01/91-06/30/96 Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

07/01/91-06/30/96 **McConnico** Austin Amount: \$18,579.66

\$443,299.77

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on the purchase of money validators due to the integration of the validators into the final product, the vending machine.

Status: Answer filed.

Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11834

AG Case #981064363

Sales Tax: Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/20/98 Plaintiff's Counsel: John Christian Period: 08/1-30/98 Vinson & Elkins

Amount: \$2,054 Austin

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on the portion of country club membership fees designated as "capital improvement fees" and "gratuities."

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 07/25/02. Reopened, as plaintiff filed a Motion for Reinstatement, granted 10/31/02.

Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401507

AG Case #041971482

Sales Tax; Protest Natalie McLemore Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 05/12/04

Period: 07/01/92-Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard L. Rothfelder

08/31/95 Michael C. Falick Amount: \$34,965.35

Rothfelder & Falick, LLP

Houston

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of amusement machines were purchased for resale and exempt from sales tax. Whether the sale of food, beverage and party packages is taxable as food and beverage or non-taxable as amusement services. Whether assets transferred from one subsidiary to another are exempt from sales tax as an "occasional sale."

Status: Answer filed.

Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-01091 AG Case #991112160

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 01/29/99

Period: 01/01/92- Plaintiff's Counsel: Timothy M. Trickey 12/31/95 The Trickey Law Firm

Amount: \$31,830.47 Austin

Issue: Various issues, including credits for bad debts, tax paid, tax on new construction and tax paid in Louisiana, resale exemptions and waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending.

Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P. Cause #GN201252 AG Case #041926635

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Judgment

Filed: Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark L. Perlmutter
Period: C Brooks Schuelke
Amount: \$ Perlmutter & Schuelke,

LLP Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a refund for the class of persons who paid sales tax on rebates. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment interpreting Texas Tax Code Sections pertaining to cash discounts and exemption from sales tax.

Status: Class-action suit. Comptroller named defendant. Comptroller's Plea to the Jurisdiction and Plaintiffs' Motion for Declaratory Judgment heard 10/19/04. Plea granted. Court requested briefs to address whether any part of case survives. Amended Order dismisses all claims against the Comptroller.

Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN202795

AG Case #021663307

Scott Simmons Sales Tax: Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned:

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/14/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens Period: 1991-1999 Christina A. Mondrik Amount: \$136,659.08 James F. Martens &

> Associates Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe tax on computer-related temporary services. Whether the Comptroller improperly assessed tax on items sold out of state or on sales for resale. Plaintiffs also claim a violation of equal protection and seek attorneys' fees.

Status: Case to be settled. Final Judgment being drafted.

Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-15042

#03-04-00261-CV AG Case #001254036

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/31/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: James D. Blume Period: 01/01/88-Jennifer S. Stoddard 03/31/95 Blume & Stoddard

Amount: \$34,390.24 Dallas

Judy M. Cunningham

Attorney at Law

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was doing business in Texas by delivering and installing its signs that were sold under contract negotiated outside of Texas. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plea to the Jurisdiction granted to State 04/07/04. Notice of Appeal filed 04/29/04. Clerk's Record filed 06/04/04. Appellant's brief filed 07/01/04. Appellees' brief filed 08/02/04. Oral Argument requested. Submitted on Briefs 12/06/04.

Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103525

AG Case #011523446

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/24/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 09/01/92- Scott, Douglass &

11/30/95 McConnico Amount: \$2,680,000 Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201000

AG Case #021583745

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 03/26/02

Period: 03/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 01/31/96 Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$7,000,000 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200999

AG Case #021583737

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 03/26/02

Period: 01/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

09/30/97 Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$3,500,000 McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201725

AG Case #021620414

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/23/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 12/01/95- Ray Langenberg

06/30/97 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$1,857,000 Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300420

AG Case #031751118

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 02/10/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 07/01/97- Ray Langenberg

07/31/01 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$2,837,000 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause

#GN400625

AG Case #041928870

Jim Cloudt Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 02/26/04

Period: 01/01/99-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 12/31/00 Ray Langenberg

Doug Sigel Amount: \$1,025,000

Scott, Douglass & **McConnico**

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301686

AG Case #031802978

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 05/23/03

Period: 01/01/96-Plaintiff's Counsel: Douglas W. Sanders

04/30/99

Elizabeth A. Copeland Amount: \$2,015,426.24 Jeffrey T. Cullinane

Oppenheimer, Blend, Harrison & Tate San Antonio

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's audit was flawed because the Comptroller improperly failed to consider late resale or other exemptions in the sample. Whether the sample methodology and 60-day letter made it impossible for Plaintiff to show that the assessment was wrong. Plaintiff also requests a jury trial.

Status: Discovery in progress. Court denied both cross-motions for partial summary judgment 08/26/04. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel denied 11/18/04. Trial setting of 03/07/05 postponed. Negotiations in progress.

Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401349

AG Case #041965336

Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/29/04

Period: 01/01/94-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg

09/30/97

R. Eric Hagenswold Amount: \$726,024

Scott, Douglass & **McConnico**

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of certain equipment and related items are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of installation labor are exempt as purchases of non-taxable stand-alone installation services.

Status: Answer filed.

Maxus Energy Corp. as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN404187

AG Case #052082260

Sales Tax: Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/27/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: **David Cowling** Period: 09/01/95-Robert Lochridge

12/31/98 Jones Day Amount: \$1,794,780.29 Dallas

Issue: Whether items purchased by Plaintiff to be exported outside of the US by a freight consolidator and not invoiced individually are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether the Comptroller's auditing techniques can assess tax on transactions previously audited and nonassessed. Whether Plaintiff "purchased" or "rented" software, and whether services provided to implement the software are taxable. Whether services performed on tangible personal property provided by a third party are exempt from sales and use tax. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and due process. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #94-11610

AG Case #94149390

Sales Tax; Protest and Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/16/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Garry M. Miles Period: 05/01/94- Vinson & Elkins

06/30/94 Austin

Amount: \$17,063

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's services are taxable (1) insurance services, (2) debt collection services, or (3) data processing services, and whether Rules 3.330, 3.354, and 3.355 exceed the Comptroller's rule making authority.

Status: Inactive.

Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201330

AG Case #021604541

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 04/22/02

Period: 01/01/95- Plaintiff's Counsel: Christia Parr Mitchell

12/31/98 (Pro Se) Amount: \$160,870.48 San Antonio

Issue: Whether plaintiff may recover a sales tax refund for taxes paid by a corporation controlled by her ex-husband when the liability was paid pursuant to orders of the court in which the divorce was granted.

Status: Inactive.

Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203398 AG Case #021676812

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/18/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens Period: 04/01/97- James F. Martens &

07/31/99 Associates Amount: \$15,841 Austin Issue: Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller wrongfully assessed additional sales tax by misstating Plaintiff's gross taxable receipts and wrongfully failed to entertain Plaintiff's refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Motion for Summary Judgment and Plea to the Jurisdiction and Special Exceptions hearing set 08/10/05. Trial set 08/22/05.

Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #93-10279-A

AG Case #93340549

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/26/93 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

Period: 01/01/87- Jones Day 03/31/90 Dallas

Amount: \$1,046,465

Issue: Plaintiff's customers buy gifts from Plaintiff outside Texas and have the gifts delivered by common carrier to Texas "donees." Should the Comptroller have assessed use tax on these "gift sends." Second Issue: whether tax is due on certain remodeling services. Plaintiff asks for attorneys fees under 42 USC §§1983 and 1988.

Status: Agreed judgment signed 03/11/96 on the gift send issue. An agreed order for severance was signed on 03/11/96 on the remodeling issues and the attorneys' fees. Cause renumbered 93-10279-A. State filed a plea to the jurisdiction on attorneys' fees on 10/06/93.

Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102403 AG Case #011478294

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 08/01/01

Period: 04/01/90- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/93 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$1,908,969.01 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether printing charges for catalogs are not subject to use tax because: (a) the printing services were not used in Texas, (b) the printed catalogs were gifts for which title transferred outside Texas, (c) plaintiff did not have sufficient control to be a Texas user, (d) the statute does not include distribution in the definition of use, (e) no use tax is due under the doctrine of *Morton Bldgs.*, (f) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) does not apply or is invalid, and/or (g) Tax Code 151.3111(a) exempts the printing service. Whether photograph retouching is (a) a sale of tangible personal property, or (b) repair, remodeling, maintenance or restoration of tangible personal property, or (c) exempt under Tax Code 151.330(e). Also, whether remodeling contracts were tax included and whether sampling was improper. Plaintiff seeks attorneys fees.

Status: Answer filed.

North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-05318

AG Case #97733563

Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 05/02/97

Period: 04/01/91-Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III 05/31/95

Fulbright & Jaworski

Amount: \$2,029,180 Houston

Issue: Whether care, custody, and control of Plaintiff's public telephone equipment passed to their customers, so that Plaintiff could buy the equipment tax free for resale.

Status: Inactive.

North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #94-08603

AG Case #94113766

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: James Parsons

Judgment

Filed: 07/14/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

Period: 05/02/91-Attorney at Law

Austin 12/31/91

Amount: \$24,307

Issue: Whether a sale of a business approved by the SBA (which held a lien and received the proceeds) is tantamount to a foreclosure sale so that no successor liability should attach.

Status: Inactive. Parties are involved in informal discussions to resolve or eliminate issues currently in controversy.

Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201344

AG Case #021607155

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/01/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Period: 09/01/92- Stahl, Bernal & Davies

11/30/95 Austin

Amount: \$1,600,000

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff claims that collection of the tax violates the supremacy clause as a tax on the U.S. government and that the Comptroller violated the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal taxation by denying the refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Northwestern Resources Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500768

AG Case #052118247

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 03/11/05

Period: 10/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

03/31/01Ray LangenbergAmount: \$825,300.33Scott, Douglass &

McConnico, LLP

Austin

Issue: Whether parts, consumables and repair services that Plaintiff purchased for draglines used in its coal mining operations are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Plaintiff claims that the use of a dragline is to remove overburden, which results in a physical change. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, equal rights clause, equal protection clause, due course of law and due process clause.

Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-13885

AG Case #91149840

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 09/27/91

Period: 04/01/84 - Plaintiff's Counsel: David H. Gilliland

03/31/88 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Amount: \$432,105 Austin

Issue: Resale certificates; taxable maintenance services; taxability of various chemicals and

other tangible personal property used in oil well services.

Status: Inactive.

R.H. Salas & Associates, Inc. v. Comptroller Cause #GN403975

AG Case #042071365

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/06/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Diego A. Lopez

Period: 08/01/98- The Law Offices of Diego

04/30/02 A. Lopez Amount: \$66,543.64 San Antonio

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on purchased equipment used in the manufacturing of wood and metal products. Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on electricity used to operate the equipment. Whether Plaintiff was denied due process of law and the right to equal protection of the law. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101511

#03-02-00346-CV

#03-0416

AG Case #011451606

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/17/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Period: 06/01/89 - Ray Langenberg

12/31/96 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$6,000,000 Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 03/05/02. Partial summary judgment for plaintiff signed 03/29/02. Judgment for Raytheon granted 05/15/02. State's Notice of Appeal filed 06/04/02. Appellants' brief filed 09/20/02. Appellee's brief filed 10/18/02. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/07/02. Oral argument completed 12/04/02. Comptroller's post-submission brief filed 12/15/02. Trial court affirmed, in part, remanded, in part, 01/30/03. Motion for Rehearing and Motion for En Banc Reconsideration filed by State 03/17/03; denied 03/27/03. Petition for Review filed by State 05/12/03. Response filed 05/20/03 by Raytheon. Reply filed by State 05/30/03. Petition for Review denied 08/28/03. Motion for Rehearing filed by State 09/12/03; denied 10/24/03. Final order of the Supreme Court sent to Court of Appeals 12/09/03. Case is in discovery on remand. State's Motion for Summary Judgment granted 06/03/04. Raytheon's Motion for Summary Judgment denied 06/08/04. Order ruling that case is not final setting deadline for status report signed 06/28/04. State's Report filed 07/16/04. Judgment hearing on 10/04/04 passed to consider settlement.

Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201022

AG Case #021588694

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/28/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 08/01/88 - Scott, Douglass &

05/31/97 McConnico Amount: \$2,500,000.00 Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302082

AG Case #031816143

Jim Cloudt Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 06/13/03

Period: 04/01/89-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/96 Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Amount: \$228,368

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN303643 AG Case #031853625

Jim Cloudt Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 09/09/03

Plaintiff's Counsel: Period: 07/01/97-Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg 12/31/98

Doug Sigel Amount: \$3,500,000

Scott, Douglass & **McConnico**

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303644

AG Case #031853633

Sales Tax; Refund Jim Cloudt Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 09/09/03

Period: 01/01/99-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/02 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$7,400,000 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN303645 AG Case #031853641

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 09/09/03

Period: 01/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/98 Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$4,000,000

Amount: \$4,000,000 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN304089 AG Case #031873441

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/16/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 10/01/91- Ray Langenberg

12/31/96 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$389,408.28 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Reynolds Metals Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401468

AG Case #041970799

Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 05/07/04

Period: 03/01/94-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg

12/31/00

Eric Hagenswold Amount: \$828,614.08 Scott, Douglass &

> **McConnico** Austin

Issue: Whether conveyors and weigh-ometers are exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intraplant transportation. Whether repair and replacement parts for the conveyors are exempt from sales tax as purchases of pollution control equipment used in manufacturing and purchases of environmental repairs. Whether ship unloaders qualify as rolling stock and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation and equal protection.

Status: Answer filed.

Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002831

AG Case #001357631

Sales Tax; Protest & Jim Cloudt Asst. AAG Assigned:

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/25/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: **David Cowling** Period: 04/01/88-Robert Lochridge

Jones Day 05/31/92 Amount: \$713,686.05 Dallas

\$206,053.87

Issue: Whether various equipment used by the Plaintiff with its trucks is exempt from use tax as tangible personal property sold to a common carrier for use outside the state. Alternatively, whether the equipment had been taxed as vehicle components under the interstate motor carrier tax and could not be taxed as "accessories." Alternatively, whether taxing 100% of the value of the equipment violates the Commerce Clause because of a lack of substantial nexus and of fair apportionment. Whether all tax was paid on Plaintiff's repair and remodeling contracts and capital assets. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Trial setting passed. Discovery in progress.

Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301171

AG Case #031786551

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Judgment

Filed: 04/11/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Henry Binder Period: 06/01/95- Porter & Hedges

07/31/98 Houston

Amount: \$23,492.41

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is required to pay additional tax after the Comptroller's administrative order became final. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption for down-hole drilling equipment and whether completion of Plaintiff's facility was new construction

Status: Answer filed. Inactive.

Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203339

AG Case #021676788

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 09/13/02

Period: 01/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: David H. Gilliland

12/31/98 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Amount: \$591,028.39 Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc., dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN202097 AG Case #021640651

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 06/28/02

Period: 08/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: William T. Peckham

07/31/00 Austin

Amount: \$45,059.74

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on food sold from its convenience store area. Whether the Comptroller applied proper percentages for loss and waste.

Status: Answer filed.

SC Kiosks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500795

AG Case #052126810

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 03/15/05

Period: November 2004 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Filing Period Ray Langenberg
December 2004 Filing Curtis J. Osterloh

Period Scott, Douglass &

January 2005 Filing McConnico
Period Austin

Amount: \$146,909.55

\$66,251.85 \$59,268.75

Issue: Whether telephones purchased by Plaintiff, and subsequently sold to customers who contract for telephone service with a carrier associated with the Plaintiff, are exempt from sales tax under the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Sabine Mining Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401382

AG Case #041964867

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 04/30/04

Period: 10/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

09/30/01 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$905,468.12 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether replacement parts and repair services for draglines qualify as manufacturing equipment and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the draglines directly make or cause a chemical or physical change to formations, falling within the exempt manufacturing process. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, equal rights, equal protection, due course of law and due process.

Status: Answer filed.

San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403429

AG Case #042050401

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/15/04

Period: 06/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 06/30/00 Curtis Osterloh

06/30/00 Curtis Osterloh Amount: \$913,435.03 Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether suite rental fees are exempt from sales tax as non-taxable rentals or licenses for the use of real property.

Status: Answer filed.

Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-07605

AG Case #991187592

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 07/01/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Kevin W. Morse

Period: 07/01/95- Blazier, Christensen &

05/31/97 Bigelow Amount: \$140,936.92 Austin

Issue: Whether the portion of Plaintiff's gym membership fee allocated to aerobic training is included in Plaintiff's taxable amusement services. Whether the Comptroller improperly disregarded the rule addressing non-taxable aerobic and tanning services under the amusement services tax. Whether the Comptroller should have applied its detrimental reliance policy.

Status: Inactive. Plaintiff paid tax under pay-out agreement.

Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11572

AG Case #981063308

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/13/98

Period: 01/01/92- Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

12/31/93 Jones Day Amount: \$413,569 Dallas

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee on 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss set 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01; granted 08/14/01. Motion to dismiss set 07/25/02; granted 01/16/03.

Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203645

AG Case #021686779

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/09/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.

Period: 07/01/94- Stahl, Bernal & Davies

11/30/97 Austin Amount: \$264,355.46

Martin I. Eisenstein

Kevin J. Beal Brann & Isaacson Lewiston, ME

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203821

AG Case #021696851

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/22/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Period: 12/01/97- Stahl, Bernal & Davies

03/31/01 Austin

Amount: \$258,205.20

Martin I. Eisenstein

Kevin J. Beal Brann & Isaacson Lewiston, ME

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103910 AG Case #011532355

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 11/27/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Period: 01/01/95- Stahl, Bernal & Davies

12/31/98 Austin

Amount: \$219,219.35

\$47.15

Issue: Whether items used in vessel repair, such as paint-gun parts, are exempt materials. Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 08/08/05.

Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103390

AG Case #011509668

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 10/15/01

Period: 01/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: H. Christopher Mott 12/31/99 Krafsur Gordon Mott

Amount: \$188,477.57 El Paso

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes tax on electricity used to freeze food items.

Status: Inactive.

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402300

AG Case #041998360

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 07/22/04

Period: 06/01/05- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/98 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$291,516,385.00 Curtis J. Osterloh

> Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether equipment used to process tangible personal property for ultimate sale is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether payphones purchased by Plaintiff to perform taxable telecommunications services qualify for the sale for resale exemption. Whether electricity purchased and resold as an integral part of other tangible personal property and used to perform taxable telecommunications services is exempt from sales tax. Whether stand-alone installation labor provided directly to a customer by a vendor or by a third-party installer is taxable.

Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-14298

AG Case #96637296

Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 11/22/96

Plaintiff's Counsel: Period: 02/01/86-Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg

01/31/90

Curtis J. Osterloh Amount: \$1,269,474 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether networking services are taxable as telecommunications services.

Status: Case was set to be Dismissed for Want of Prosecution in March, 2003. Motion to

Retain was filed.

Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200631

AG Case #021567771

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 02/25/02

Period: 04/01/91-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

04/30/94 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$103,335.27 Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff is entitled to a tax refund for repairs to tangible personal property on the grounds that such repairs were for casualty losses exempt under the Comptroller's Rule 3.357 and 3.310. Whether the claim is barred by limitations. Whether the Comptroller improperly changed the rule on casualty losses.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment filed. Response filed. Partial Summary Judgment on limitations granted for Plaintiff 04/07/04.

Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN001808

AG Case #001323633

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Judgment

Filed: 06/23/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark D. Hopkins Period: 01/01/94-Fields & Hopkins

Austin 12/31/96

Amount: \$6,532,000

Hilary Thomas

Kondos & Kondos Law

Offices Richardson

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is a direct sales company and may be regarded as a retailer for sales made by independent retailers of business start-up kits. Whether the Comptroller's rule defining direct sales organizations violates due process. Whether §151.024 was applied retroactively. Whether the items at issue are not taxable tangible personal property. Whether the Comptroller erred in basing the assessment on the suggested retail price of all issued items. Whether penalty and interest should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400465

AG Case #041925850

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 02/17/04

Period: 05/01/98-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Curtis Osterloh

04/30/01

Amount: \$92,357.48 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100633

AG Case #011420734

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/01/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

Period: 01/01/94- Attorney at Law

12/31/96 Austin

Amount: \$196,492.74

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Set for DWOP.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302075

AG Case #031816119

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 06/13/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

Period: 07/01/94- Attorney at Law

06/30/98 Austin

Amount: \$270,401.80

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Discovery in progress.

TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-11647

AG Case #991219239

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/06/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling

Period: 10/01/91- Robert Lochridge

03/31/93 Jones Day Amount: \$146,484.05 Dallas Issue: Whether Plaintiff sold electricity for commercial use when it obtained electrical service under a management agreement for another company which used the electricity in manufacturing or processing. Whether the exemption for electricity used in manufacturing requires the purchaser of electricity to be the user. Whether Plaintiff can be held as a seller of electricity in violation of the TPURA. Whether Plaintiff's right to equal and uniform taxation has been violated. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Case to be settled.

TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-11648

AG Case #991219221

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/05/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 07/01/89- Robert Lochridge

12/31/91 Jones Day Amount: \$479,719.44 Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sold electricity for commercial use when it obtained electrical service under a management agreement for another company which used the electricity in manufacturing or processing. Whether the exemption for electricity used in manufacturing requires the purchaser of electricity to be the user. Whether Plaintiff can be held as a seller of electricity in violation of the TPURA. Whether Plaintiff's right to equal and uniform taxation has been violated. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Case to be settled.

TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100339

AG Case #011409653

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 02/01/01

Amount: \$475,000

Period: 01/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

06/30/96 Ray Langenberg

Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether conversion of drilling rigs to self-propelled, deep water rigs is manufacturing under the statute and Comptroller rules. Whether dredging is non-taxable maintenance of real property. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: DWOP notice sent by court 03/29/05.

Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100705

AG Case #011422482

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 03/07/01

Period: 03/01/93-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 12/31/96 Ray Langenberg

Scott, Douglass & Amount: \$400,000

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether cable equipment on the customer's premises qualifies for the sale for resale exemption for property used to provide a taxable service.

Status: Discovery in progress. Set 04/15/05 for dismissal for want of prosecution.

Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201543

AG Case #021613625

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 05/10/02

Period: 05/01/87-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg 12/31/90

Amount: \$157,090.20 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass & **McConnico**

Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that interest should be offset or waived for a period before a refund was made to a subsidiary.

Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. Cause #485,228

AG Case #90311185

Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 06/05/90

Period: 01/01/85 -Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

Jenkens & Gilchrist 06/30/88

Austin Amount: \$294,000

Issue: Are pipes exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intra plant transportation.

Status: Inactive.

Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000580

AG Case #001261452

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 01/13/00

Period: 01/01/89-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/92

Ray Langenberg Amount: \$575,857.40 Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to an exemption on labor charges for installing floating roofs on tanks at its chemical plant because: (1) the roofs are exempt pollution control equipment, (2) the labor was for non-taxable new construction, or (3) the labor was for remodeling of tangible personal property.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending.

United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401174

AG Case #041954488

Jim Cloudt Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 04/14/04

Period: 07/01/99-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

07/31/03 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$975,000 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert.

Status: Answer filed.

Val-Pak Franchise Operations, Inc. dba Valpak of Houston v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN300267 AG Case #031746142

Gene Storie Sales Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 01/28/03

Period: 04/01/95-Plaintiff's Counsel: James A. Hemphill

12/31/98 Graves, Dougherty,

Amount: \$734,112.10 Hearon & Moody

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sells non-taxable advertising services. Whether Plaintiff purchases non-taxable proprietary information services. Whether marketing fees are non-taxable membership dues.

Status: Settled.

West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-11751

AG Case #96611633

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 09/27/96

Period: 06/01/88-Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard L. Rothfelder

06/30/92

Milissa M. Magee Kirkendall, Isgur & Amount: \$35,247

> Rothfelder Houston

Issue: Whether prizes obtained by collecting tickets from amusement machines in a restaurant are "purchased" by the customer as part of the price of the food.

Status: Inactive.

White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304767

AG Case #041904608

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 12/18/03

Period: 10/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

12/31/97 Attorney at Law

Amount: \$415,185.61 Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the decision of the Comptroller violated the statute and long-standing Comptroller policy.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller Cause #GN304667

AG Case #031899222

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/10/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael R. Cooper

Period: 2002 Salado

Amount: \$50,000

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's civil rights were violated by the Comptroller's audit and whether the audit assessment should be set aside for lack of substantial evidence.

the audit assessment should be set aside for fack of substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201795

AG Case #021626239

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 05/30/02

Period: 09/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

05/31/98 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Amount: \$273,005.56 Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes sales tax on the discount and reserve amounts of its factored contracts when plaintiff is a cash-basis taxpayer.

ornanda winda pamana as would custo tumpujor

Comptroller Case Summary/April 21, 2005

Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN202030

AG Case #021640669

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 06/24/02

Period: 08/01/92- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

02/28/97 Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$\$333,602.57 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on items temporarily stored in Texas. Whether tax on services purchased by Plaintiff should be reduced to reflect the out-of-state benefit of those services. Whether Plaintiff should get a refund or credit for tax paid on inventory. Whether the Comptroller should be barred from off-setting debts in the period between the filing of Plaintiff's bankruptcy petition and the confirmation of its reorganization plan.

Status: Answer filed.

Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301725

AG Case #031806045

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/27/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Period: 08/01/92- Ray Langenberg 02/28/97 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$1,170,404.64 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to exemption on items of inventory temporarily stored instate. Whether tax was improperly assessed on services performed outside the state. Whether installation services on counters and software were readily separable from taxable tangible property. Whether the Comptroller should be enjoined from taking offsets pursuant to Plaintiff's bankruptcy plea.

Zimmerman Sign Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500612

AG Case #052113065

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 02/28/05

Period: 01/01/95- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

04/30/98 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$105,046.66 Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether certain equipment, machinery, parts, supplies and consumables purchased to manufacture exterior signs are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether or not Plaintiff is a "contractor" to qualify for the manufacturing exemption.

Insurance Tax

Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insurance Co.; Allstate Indemnity Co.; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300968

AG Case #031778947

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Protest & Declaratory

JudgmentPlaintiff's Counsel:Steven D. MooreFiled: 03/26/03Fred B. WerkenthinPeriod: 1995-1998Jackson & Walker

Amount: \$174,386.15 Austin

\$10,529.48 \$4,013.24 \$11,858.40 \$7,306.09

(Total: \$208,093.27)

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe gross premiums tax on defaulted auto insurance premiums that

are not received.

Status: Answer filed.

American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al. Cause

#396,975

AG Case #861483X

Gross Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Fred B. Werkenthin

Filed: 05/08/86 Steve Moore
Period: 1985-1988 Jackson & Walker

Amount: \$1,745,569.00 Austin

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 4.10 unconstitutionally discriminates against foreign property and casualty companies by basing the premium tax rate on their percentage of Texas investments (equal protection). (Pleadings refer to art. 4.10, but protest letters refer to arts. 4.11 and 21.46.) Also seeks recovery and attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

Status: Inactive. To be dismissed.

American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302070

AG Case #031816564

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund

Filed: 06/12/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael W. Jones

Period: 1992 Kevin F. Lee

Amount: \$241,625.20 Thompson, Coe, Cousins

& Irons Austin

Issue: Whether investments in "Fannie Mae" and "Freddie Mac" mortgage pools qualify as investments in Texas mortgages. Whether Rule 3.809 (c) is invalid.

Status: Answer filed.

American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002666 (Consolidated with Lexington Insurance Co. and Landmark Insurance Co. v.

Rylander, et al., Cause #GN100569)

AG Case #001351998

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Cynthia Hollingsworth

Filed: 09/08/00

Period: 1995

Curtis L. Frisbie, Jr.

Randy D. Gordon

Amount: \$362,975.97 Samuel E. Joyner

Gardere Wynne & Sewell

Dallas

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: See *Lexington Insurance Co. and Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.*, Cause #GN100569.

Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101899

AG Case #011464476

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen L. Phillips Filed: 06/20/01 Brian C. Newby

Period: 1992-1998

Julie K. Lane

Amount: \$439,074.12 Cantey & Hanger, Roan

& Autry Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an authorized surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance premiums tax. Whether the Comptroller lacks authority to determine that Plaintiff is an unauthorized insurer, and whether the Texas Department of Insurance is required to make that determination. Whether the Comptroller engaged in selective and improper enforcement. Whether the assessment violates Due Process and the McCarran-Ferguson Act. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301692

#03-04-00342-CV AG Case #031806011

Retaliatory Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

& Declaratory Judgment

Amount: \$1,432,580.76

Filed: 05/23/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Period: 1998 through Sneed, Vine & Perry

2002 Austin

Matthew J. Zim

Steptoe & Johnson, LLP

Washington, D.C.

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: The State's motion for summary judgment was granted 05/18/04 and Plaintiff's was denied. Notice of Appeal filed 06/17/04. Clerk's Record filed 07/06/04. Supplement Clerk's Records filed 07/22/04 and 07/29/04. Motion to Consolidate cases granted 07/29/04 (*Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, Cause #GN401630). Appellants' brief filed 08/30/04. Appellees' brief filed 10/26/04. Reply brief filed by Appellant 11/15/04. Submitted on Oral Argument 01/19/05. Appellees' Supplemental Brief filed 02/01/05. Appellants' Supplemental Brief filed 02/15/05.

First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401631

AG Case #041976440

Retaliatory Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 05/21/04

Period: 2003 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Amount: \$1,490,029.00 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN100569 #03-03-00169-CV #04-0429 AG Case #011417896

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Curtis L. Frisbie, Jr.

Filed: 02/22/01 Cynthia C. Hollingsworth

Period: 1992-1995 Jeremy Martin

Amount: \$1,596,196.63 Gardere Wynne Sewell

\$36,174.92 LLP Dallas Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Summary Judgment motions held 08/01/02; Summary Judgment granted for insurers. Notice of Appeal filed 03/21/03. Appellants' brief filed 08/15/03. Appellee's brief filed 11/10/03. Appellants' reply brief filed 12/05/03. Oral argument held 01/07/04. Third Court of Appeals reversed and remanded trial court's judgment 02/20/04. Appellees filed Motion for Consideration En Banc and Motion for Rehearing 03/08/04; overruled 03/25/04. Petition for Review filed 06/24/04. Waiver of Response filed 07/06/04. Case forwarded to Court 07/13/04. Response to Petition for Review filed by Respondent 08/26/04. Petitioner's Reply filed 09/17/04. Court requested briefs on the merits. Petitioners' brief on the merits filed 11/18/04. Respondents' brief on the merits filed 01/07/05. Amicus Curiae posted 01/18/05. Petitioner's reply brief on the merits filed 03/17/05. Court requested a reply from Respondents. Respondents' reply brief filed 03/17/05. Lexington filed a motion to strike and/or seal the Amicus Brief of Varco Int'1.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. Cause #484,745 AG Case #90304512

Gross Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest

Filed: 05/24/90 Plaintiff's Counsel: Fred B. Werkenthin

Period: 1985-1986 Steve Moore 1989-1992 Breck Harrison Amount: \$1,848,606 Jackson & Walker

Austin

Issue: Whether insurance taxes are owed by insurance companies on dividends applied to paid-up additions and renewal premiums.

Status: 9th Amended Petition filed. Settlement discussed, and partial settlement agreed to. Final judgment signed on paid-up additions issue. Renewal premium issue severed and retained on docket. Plaintiffs have made settlement offer on remainder of case.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. Cause #484,796

AG Case #90304503

Gene Storie Maintenance Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 05-23-90

Period: 1989-1991 Plaintiff's Counsel: Fred B. Werkenthin Amount: \$1,616,497

Jackson & Walker

Austin

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 21.07-6 is preempted by ERISA.

Status: One Plaintiff has submitted documentation supporting a refund. Case will be concluded in accordance with NGS v. Barnes, 998 F.2d 296 (5th Cir. 1993). Severance and final judgment entered for Metropolitan. Awaiting documentation for other Plaintiffs.

Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401630 AG Case #041976416

Retaliatory Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 05/21/04

Period: 2003 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Sneed, Vine & Perry Amount: \$289,403.85

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301693

#03-04-003472-CV

(Consolidated with First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN301692, #03-04-00342-CV)

AG Case #031806029

Retaliatory Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

& Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/23/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Period: 2002 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$219,626.40 Austin Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: The State's motion for summary judgment was granted 05/17/04 and Plaintiff's was denied. Notice of Appeal filed 06/17/04; dismissed 07/29/04 due to Motion for Consolidation. See *First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, Cause #GN301692, #03-04-00342-CV.

STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301053

AG Case #031808371

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest

Filed: 06/11/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Howard P. Newton

Period: 2002 Rene D. Ruiz

Amount: \$115,287.80 Cox Smith Matthews Inc.

San Antonio

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in *Todd Shipyards* and *Dow Chemical*. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Waiting for administrative decision on refund claim for other periods.

St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102788

AG Case #011490877

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael W. Jones Filed: 08/24/01 Kevin F. Lee

Period: 01/01/95- Thompson, Coe, Cousins

12/31/98 & Irons Amount: \$163,021.27 Austin

Richard S. Geiger

Thompson, Coe, Cousins

& Irons
Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an eligible surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance tax. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: To be determined by Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co., et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas Cause #97-05106

#03-98-00110-CV AG Case #97727302

Insurance Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 04/29/97

Period: 1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: Larry Parks

Amount: \$56,958 Long, Burner, Parks &

> Sealey Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff should be given credit against tax due for examination fees paid to the state in connection with a market conduct examination report ordered by the Texas Department of Insurance. Plaintiff also asks for penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Cross-motions for summary judgment heard 11/12/97. Summary judgment granted for Plaintiff. State appealed. Case submitted without oral argument 07/06/98. Affirmed in part, reversed and remanded in part 03/11/99. State's motion for rehearing denied. Petition for Review filed 06/01/99. Briefs on merits requested by Court. State's brief filed 10/18/99. Petition denied. Case remanded to trial court. To be consolidated with Cause #GN002605. The Universe Life Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Case settled.

Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al. Cause #GN002605

AG Case #001348580

Gene Storie Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned:

Refund

Filed: 09/01/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Larry Parks

Period: 1993 Long, Burner, Parks, 1994 McClellan & Delargy

Amount: \$87,288.51 Austin

\$426,620.38

Issue: Whether plaintiff should be given credit against tax due for examination fees paid to the state in connection with a market conduct examination report ordered by the Texas Department of Insurance. Plaintiff also asks for penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Comptroller to make partial refund awarded in administrative hearing. Court issued a dismissal notice. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain. Trial was set 01/18/05. Plaintiff made a settlement offer. Case settled.

Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-12271 AG Case #991226739

Insurance Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/20/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Raymond E. White Period: 1993-1997 Daniel Micciche

1993-1997 Akin, Gump, Strauss, Amount: \$416,462.73 Hauer & Feld

\$214,893.74 Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly included amounts not received by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's gross premiums tax base. Whether any maintenance tax is payable on Plaintiff's business of home warranty insurance. Whether the Comptroller is bound by the prior actions and determinations of the Texas Department of Insurance. Whether the assessments of tax violate due process and equal taxation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Other Taxes

Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402237

AG Case #041999202

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/27/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2003 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Amount: \$N/A Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample

properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Armelin, John M. v. City of Houston Cause #200316037

AG Case #042046375

Declaratory Judgment Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Tax; Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Jaqueline I. Leguizamon

Filed: 09/10/04 City of Houston

Period:
Amount: \$

Issue: Whether county court fees collected from persons who are not convicted of any criminal offense are constitutional. Plaintiffs seek class action declaratory relief from the

Comptroller. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Trial to be set 10/10-29/05.

Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203255

AG Case #021670484

Inheritance Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 09/09/02

Period: Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens

Amount: \$161,956 Christina A. Mondrik

James F. Martens &

Associates Austin Issue: Whether the IRS erred in increasing the value of the estate's assets and disallowing expenses and gifts.

Status: Answer filed.

Beadles, Joe Haven v. Comptroller Cause #GN500155

AG Case #052100160

Diesel Fuel Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 01/14/05 Plaintiff's Counsel: Joe Haven Beadles

Period: Pro Se

Amount: \$1,709,078.44 Mt. Pleasant

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the State issued a diesel fuel bonded suppliers' permit to Plaintiff without Plaintiff's knowledge, allowing diesel fuel taxes to be assessed against Plaintiff. Plaintiff claims he never purchased or sold diesel fuel. Plaintiff claims the State previously collected the taxes in question from subsidiaries who sold diesel fuel through truck stops. Plaintiff claims these subsidiaries bought the diesel fuel from an oil company which the State, through an "agreement with the oil company," exempted from paying taxes.

Status: Discovery in progress.

CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400433

AG Case #041921990

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 02/12/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Lara L. Reenan

Period: Henry Oddo Austin &

Amount: \$0.00 Fletcher

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's tax collection and financing activities are legal under the Tax

Code, Finance Code and Constitution.

Status: Co-defendant's Motion to Dismiss granted 06/21/04.

Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller Cause

#96-08010

AG Case #96599817

Property Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Judgment

Filed: 07/11/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: 1994 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$ N/A Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Various issues concerning the validity of the Comptroller's property value study.

Status: Answer and Special Exception filed. Inactive. Settlement reached with Canyon ISD. Only La Porte ISD is now pending. LaPorte ISD has made a settlement offer. Inactive.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304320

AG Case #031880487

Gas Production Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund & Declaratory

JudgmentPlaintiff's Counsel:Mark W. EidmanFiled: 11/05/03Ray LangenbergPeriod: 07/01/88-Doug Sigel

12/31/90 Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$225,194.00 McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on "Order 94 Payments." Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Case settled.

Comfort ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV402302

AG Case #042000315

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 08/02/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: 2003 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$N/A Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Commerce ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV402275

AG Case #042000299

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/29/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott

Period: 2003 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$N/A Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Answer filed.

ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403149

AG Case #042035626

Gas Production Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund

Filed: 09/22/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jamie Nielson

Period: 01/01/95- Austin

11/30/97

Amount: \$539,224.78

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's refund claim fell within the statute of limitations deadline once the high-cost gas exemption or reduction was applied. Whether the high-cost gas refund claim involves the same type of tax as the marketing cost deduction claim which was the basis for the Section 111.207(d) tolling.

ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN500169

AG Case #052091428

Gas Production Tax: Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund

Filed: 01/18/05 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 01/01/97-Ray Langenberg

Doug J. Dashiell 07/31/98 Scott, Douglass & Amount: \$181,161.89

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's refund claim fell within the statute of limitations deadline once the high-cost gas exemption or reduction was applied. Whether the high-cost gas refund claim involves the same type of tax as the marketing cost deduction claim which was the basis for the Section 111.207(d) tolling.

Status: Answer filed.

Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al. Cause #2004-54335

AG Case #042056796

Property Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Judgment

Filed: 09/30/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Walter E. Spears

Period: Stephen K. Hamilton Amount: \$N/A

Neil H. McLaurin, IV Bartley & Spears, P.C.

Houston

Issue: Whether Tax Code §32.05(c), which subordinates the liens of property owners' associations, is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp Cause #91-6309

AG Case #9178237

Gas Production Tax; Blake Hawthorne Asst. AAG Assigned:

Declaratory Judgment

Amount: \$3,054,480.60

Filed: 05/06/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: Alfred H. Ebert, Jr. Period: 01/01/87 -Andrews & Kurth

12/31/87 Houston

Issue: Whether Comptroller should have granted Plaintiff a hearing on penalty waiver and related issues.

Status: State's Plea in Abatement granted pending outcome of administrative hearing on audit liability. Negotiations pending.

Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200711

AG Case #021573480

Mixed Beverage Gross Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Receipts Tax; Protest &

Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: John L. Gamboa

Filed: 03/04/02 Acuff, Gamboa & White

Period: 03/01/99- Fort Worth

06/30/99

Amount: \$36,177.36

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used a non-representative sample to determine plaintiff's tax liability. Whether depletion and error rates were calculated correctly.

Status: Discovery extended until 05/15/05. Plea to the Jurisdiction and Motion for Summary Judgment withdrawn. Settlement negotiations being discussed.

Gilani, Fred v. Progressive Amusement, Inc., Craig Byler and Comptroller

Cause #2004-10090-16 AG Case #041948720

Property Tax; Injunction Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

& Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/30/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen D. Stephens

Period: Lewisville

Amount: \$N/A

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's claim of complying with contract terms results in ownership of personal property. Whether the defendants' enforcement actions are arbitrary. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and a temporary restraining order.

Status: A non-suit has been filed.

Glen Rose ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV402292

AG Case #042000307

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/30/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott Period: 2003 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$N/A Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Answer filed.

Greenville ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV402276

AG Case #041999350

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/29/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott

Period: 2003 Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Amount: \$N/A Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Answer filed.

Harris County, et al. v. John W. Adams, et al. Cause #2004-54306

AG Case #042056804

Property Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Judgment

Filed: 09/30/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Walter E. Spears

Period: Stephen K. Hamilton

Amount: \$N/A Neil H. McLaurin, IV Bartley & Spears, P.C.

Houston

Issue: Whether Tax Code §32.05(c), which subordinates the liens of property owners' associations, is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203899

AG Case #021703913

Hotel Occupancy Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Protest, Injunction &

Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Kirk R. Manning

Filed: 10/28/02 Stephen L. Phillips
Period: 03/01/97
Julie K. Lane

11/30/00 Cantey & Hanger

12/01/00-03/31/02 Austin

Amount: \$193,629.45

\$59,232.72

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's service charges are subject to the hotel tax. Whether the charges are gratuities under the Comptroller's rule. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Discussions in progress with opposing counsel.

MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002653

AG Case #001352632

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Refund

Filed: 09/07/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 01/01/96- Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$5,533,079.80 McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to tax credit and refund as provided under the sales tax bad debt statute for motor vehicle taxes on installment sales where the purchaser defaulted. Whether the refusal to allow a refund violates equal taxation because there is no rational basis to treat installment sellers of vehicles differently than vehicle renters and other retailers.

Status: Trial setting passed. Discovery in progress. Plaintiff filed Motion for Partial Summary Judgment 03/03/05.

Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402238

AG Case #041999236

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/27/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2003 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Amount: \$N/A Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Discovery in progress.

McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN104253 #03-03-00502-CV #04-1066 AG Case #021547393

Protest Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Injunction & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Filed: David J. Sewell

Period: Stahl, Bernal & Davies

Amount: \$1,173.83 & Austin

\$3,690.00

Issue: Whether the Comptroller must accept a letter of credit as security for Plaintiff's participation in the cigarette tax trust fund.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 07/30/03. Pleas to the jurisdiction granted in part and Summary Judgment granted for the Comptroller. McLane filed Notice of Appeal 08/19/03. Appellants' brief filed 01/15/04. Appellees' brief filed 03/16/04. Submitted on Oral Argument 04/07/04. On 10/14/04 the Court of Appeals affirmed in part and dismisses entire case for lack of jurisdiction. McLane filed a Petition for Review in the Texas Supreme Court 11/29/04. Response to Petition for Review waived 12/08/04. Case forwarded to Court 12/14/04. On 01/11/05 Court requested response to the Petition for Review. Respondents' response filed 02/10/05. Petitioners' reply filed 02/24/05. Petition for Review denied 03/11/05. Motion for Rehearing was due 03/28/05.

Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al. Cause #92-16485

AG Case #92190294

Alcoholic Beverage Gross Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Receipts Tax; Declaratory

JudgmentPlaintiff's Counsel:Jim MattoxFiled: 12/03/92Lowell Lasley

Period: Michael D. Mosher

Amount: \$ Paris

Issue: Whether the TABC and Comptroller were allowed to use inventory depletions analysis to determine amount of gross receipts tax owed. Plaintiffs seek class certification.

Status: Answer filed. Inactive.

Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204123

AG Case #021705918

Fuels Tax; Injunction and Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 11/14/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Donald H. Grissom
Period: 2002 Grissom & Thompson

Amount: \$450,000 Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's collection actions are arbitrary, contrary to statute, and unconstitutional. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and a return of seized property.

Status: Temporary Restraining Order denied. Inactive.

Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-11987

AG Case #91133170

Motor Vehicle Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Protest

Filed: 08/26/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: George L. Preston

Period: 12/01/86 - Paris

09/30/89

Amount: \$21,796

Issue: Whether motor vehicle tax should fall on dealer/seller rather than the purchaser under §152.044. Related constitutional issues.

Status: Inactive.

Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402239

AG Case #041999251

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/27/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2003 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Austin Amount: \$N/A

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to consider local modifiers, sales and market information. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204124

AG Case #021705900

Blake Hawthorne Fuels Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned:

Judgment & Injunction

Filed: 11/14/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Donald H. Grissom Period: Grissom & Thompson

Amount: \$115,000.00 Austin

Issue: Whether fuels tax is actually owed by an unrelated company. Whether the Comptroller abused its discretion and violated Plaintiff's constitutional rights. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status: Temporary Restraining Order denied. Inactive.

Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, Inc., L.L.C. v. Alon USA, LP Cause #3-03CV1535D

AG Case #042049338

Fuels Tax; Subpoena Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 10/06/04

Period: 01/01/02 to Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert J. Clary

Present Owens, Clary & Aiken,

Amount: \$N/A LLP

Dallas

Issue: Creditor seeks tax and communication information.

Status: Answer filed.

Robinson, Barbara Cooke, Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300338

AG Case #031758915

Declaratory Judgment Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Tax; Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Arne M. Ray Filed: 02/03/03 Houston

Period: 1990 Amount: \$N/A

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's lien should be nullified as expired or invalid on its face.

Status: Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment and Defendant's Plea to the Jurisdiction filed

02/13/04.

San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402240

AG Case #041999194

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/27/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2003 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Amount: \$N/A Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample

properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Discovery in progress.

State Farm Fire and Casualty Co. and State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401383

AG Case #041964826

Gene Storie Hotel Occupancy Asst. AAG Assigned:

Motor Vehicle Tax;

Plaintiff's Counsel: Refund Mark W. Eidman Filed: 04/30/04 Ray Langenberg

Period: 12/01/97-R. Eric Hagenswold 08/31/01 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Amount: \$2,000,000.00

Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiffs are exempt from hotel occupancy and motor vehicle sales taxes because of Tex. Ins. Code arts. 4.10 and 4.11. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, equal rights and protection, due course of law and process.

Status: Answer filed.

Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc. Cause #GN400440

AG Case #041925843

Gas Production Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Refund

Filed: 02/13/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Period: 01/01/97- Ray Langenberg 05/31/02 Matthew J. Meese

Amount: \$456,608.80 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's initial refund claim, still pending administrative review at the time of filing a second claim, fell within the statute of limitations deadline.

Status: Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary Judgment 02/02/05.

Texas RSA 15B2 Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403954 AG Case #042073783

Telecommunications Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Infrastructure Fund (TIF)

Tax; Protest Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Filed: 12/03/04 Ray Langenberg
Period: 02/01/99- Doug Sigel

10/31/02 Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$293,223.67 McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether TIF charges which Plaintiff passed on and collected from its customers are allowable reimbursements as TIF assessment. Whether Plaintiff is liable for "interest on the amount collected" or "accrued" interest on the amount collected.

Status: Discovery in progress.

That's Entertainment - San Antonio, LLC dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN400781 AG Case #041937228

Mixed Beverage Gross Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Receipts Tax; Protest

Filed: 03/09/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Curtis J. Osterloh
Period: 05/01/96- Matthew J. Meese
09/30/98 Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$211,145.65 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether door charges should be taxed by both the mixed beverage gross receipts tax and sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the application of both taxes is in violation of equal and uniform taxation, and equal protection under the law. Plaintiff also claims violation of due process and the commerce clause.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 06/13/05.

Willow Creek Resources, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303805

#03-04-00629

AG Case #031859812

Gas Production Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund

Filed: 09/23/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 01/01/97- Ray Langenberg Doug J. Dashiell Amount: \$1,160,682.81 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff is entitled to a natural gas production tax refund on gas which plaintiff claims qualifies for the exemption for high cost gas under §201.057.

Status: Summary Judgment granted for Plaintiff 06/15/04. Notice of Appeal filed by State 10/12/04. Appellant's brief filed 11/09/04. Appellees' brief filed 12/09/04. Appellants' reply brief filed 01/12/05. Submitted on Oral Argument 02/02/05. Opinion issued 03/24/05 affirming trial court's summary judgment.

Yantis ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV402274

AG Case #041999244

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/29/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott

Period: 2003 Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Amount: \$N/A Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Answer filed.

Closed Cases

Chaparral Steel Co. and Chaparral Steel Midlothian, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN403208 AG Case #042040154

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 09/27/04

Period: 10/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 02/28/97 Ray Langenberg

03/01/97-10/31/97 Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$569,549.24 McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether the following items and services are exempt from sales tax: certain property Plaintiff purchased and used or consumed during manufacturing; certain services performed on exempt property; third party installation services; contracted services by the Plaintiff; wrapping and packaging used to complete the manufacturing process; maintenance on real property; items with a useful life of six months or less; and items used and consumed in manufacturing.

Status: Non-suited 01/26/05.

LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002190

AG Case #001335645

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/02/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens
Period: 1991-1997 James F. Martens &

Amount: \$520,983.95 Associates
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff has nexus in Texas for tax on performance of lab tests in Kansas. Whether Plaintiff's activities are taxable insurance services in Texas. Whether Plaintiff's services and sales of supplies are exempt by rule and statute. Whether tax on Plaintiff violates due process and equal taxation. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 01/20/05.

May Department Stores Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300583

#03-03-00729-CV

#04-0904

AG Case #031759525

Jim Cloudt Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 02/21/03

Plaintiff's Counsel: Period: 04/01/96-Mark W. Eidman

03/31/99 Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Amount: \$930,000

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether printing on bulk paper purchased out-of-state and made into catalogs and circulars is subject to use tax. Whether the essence of the transaction in producing the catalogs is non-taxable labor. Whether "distribution" is included in the use tax.

Status: Summary Judgment granted to Comptroller 10/30/03. Notice of Appeal filed 12/02/03. Appellant's brief filed 01/12/04. Appellees' brief filed 02/17/04. Appellant's reply brief filed 03/08/04. Submitted on Oral Argument 04/28/04. Third COA affirmed District Court's judgment 07/15/04. Motion for Rehearing filed 07/30/04; denied. Substituted Opinion issued 08/26/04, still affirming judgment for Comptroller. Petition for Review filed in Tx. Supreme Court 10/11/04. Conditional waiver of response filed by State 10/13/04. Response to Petition for Review requested by Court 11/03/04. Response filed by Respondent 12/03/04. Petitioner's Reply Brief filed 12/20/04. Petition for Review denied 01/21/05. Motion for Rehearing was due 02/07/05.

RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003556

AG Case #011395266

Jim Cloudt Sales Tax: Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned:

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/12/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: **David Cowling**

Period: 01/01/89-Jones Day

Dallas

12/31/93 Amount: \$297,616.32 Issue: Whether Plaintiff lacks nexus for collection of use tax on accounts receivable that were factored to it. Whether Plaintiff is a "seller" or "retailer" engaged in business in Texas. Whether Plaintiff is liable under §111.016 as a person who received tax. Whether imposition of tax denies equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing passed. Agreed Judgment signed 02/17/05.

Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN001503

AG Case #001310820

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest

Filed: 05/23/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jay A. Thompson

Period: 1995-1998 Thompson, Coe, Cousins

Amount: \$1,226,220.50 & Irons
Austin

Issue: Whether daily negative bank account balances should be adjusted to \$0 to compute the proper percentage of Texas investments for gross premiums tax.

Status: Cross-motion for Summary Judgment filed. MSJ hearing held 12/14/04. Judgment granted 01/24/05 for Plaintiff.

Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003692

AG Case #011399409

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 12/29/00

Period: 1994 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$549,983 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was required to use historical cost as the basis of assets of an acquired corporation. Whether post-retirement benefit obligations are debt. Whether disallowing deduction of post-retirement benefits violates equal protection. Whether Plaintiff may use another method to account for depreciation.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 01/24/05.

Terlingua Common ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV302967

AG Case #031833064

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/17/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2002 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Amount: \$N/A Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties

that involved creative financing and by misapplying burden of proof.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 02/15/05.

Index

Administrative hearing, 92	Direct Sales
finality, 60	Definition and application, 67
waiver, 92	nexus, 16
Amusement Tax	Domestic Insured
amusement tax v. sales tax, 45	constitutional limits on tax, 83
business interference, 92	Electricity
coin operated machines and non-coin	manufacturing exemption, 42
operated games, 36	processing, 38, 55, 65, 68, 69, 73
Fitness & aerobic training services, 63	Estate Values
real property services, 62	taxable gifts, 88
sale for resale, 45	Examination Fees
Assessment	market conduct examination report, 84
conspiracy, 88	Factored Contracts
double taxation, 50	cash-basis accounting, 74
export items, 50	Financing Lease
inconsistency with hearing decision, 19	sample audit, 16
sample audit, 38	Food Products
successor liability for tax, 33	convenience store/deli, 61
Audit	mall vendor, 42
double taxation, 50	Fraud Audit, 42
procedure, 74	Games
Software Services, 50	amusement tax v. sales tax, 36
Business loss carryforward	Gross Premiums
limitations, 5	defaulted auto policies, 77
merger, 10, 11	paid-up additions, 81
Catalogs	renewal premiums, 81
nexus, 63	split premium to agent, 80, 82, 83
nexus, taxable use, 39, 64	Gross receipts
printing, 104	apportionment of accounts receivables
use taxprinted out of state, 41, 53	receipts, 6
Cigarette Tax Trust Fund	apportionment of intangible receipts, 12
security, 95	apportionment of pension reversion gain, 10
Class Action	double taxation, 100
constitutional and statutory requirements, 87	interstate telephone charges, 4, 12
sales tax, 45	inventory depletion, 96
Coin operated machines and non-coin operated games	merger expenses, 7
amusement tax v. sales tax, 36	severance pay, 7
Construction contract	severance pay and merger expenses, 7
lump sum or separated contract, 20, 28	shipping from out of state, 12
Country Club Fees	trust accounts, 1
sales tax, 44	Gross Taxable Sales
Credit for Overpaid Tax	estimated audit, 52
inventory or bankruptcy, 74, 75	Health Care Supplies
Creditor	sales tax, 23
payments by distribution, 97	High Cost Gas
Data processing, 51	limitations, 90, 91, 100
Debt collection services, 51	Inaccurate Certification
insurance service, 51	burden of proof, 90, 93
Depreciation	sampling method, 87, 95, 97, 98, 101, 106
straight line or accelerated, 13	Independent contractors
Direct Marketing	maid service, 20
advertising materials, 72	Installation Labor

telecommunications equipment, 66	Motor Vehicle Property
Installment Sales	nexus, 60
bad debt credit, 94	Motor Vehicle Seller
vehicle financing, 88	liability for tax, 96
Insurance services, 51	New construction
market value estimate, 85	drilling rigs, 70
out-of-state lab tests, 103	labor, 25
Insurer Exemption	lump sum or separated contract, 28
limitations, 99	tax credits, 45
Interest Offset	Nexus
refund to subsidiary, 71	accounts receivable, 105
Intraplant transportation	catalogs printed out of state, 39, 63
manufacturing exemption, 71	delivery and installation of goods, 46
Jeopardy Determination	promotional materials, 18, 26, 27, 31, 32,
business interference, 96	33, 34
Joint venture	regional salesman, 9
Sales tax credits, 13	Officer and director compensation
Labor	add-back to surplus, 2, 6
sales tax, 25	significant policy-making authority, 2, 3, 4
Leased Property	Oil well services, 55
gas generation system, 34	manufacturing exemption, 17
Lien	sale for resale, 55
community liability, 51	Packaging
homeowners' associations, 91, 94	sale for resale, 38
nullification, 98	shipment out-of-state, 24, 35
Lien Proceeds	Penalty
successor liability for tax, 53	waiver, 15, 92
Limitations	Pipe
administrative proceedings, 99	manufacturing exemption, 71
subsequent refund claim, 67	Pipeline Services
Lump Sum Motor Vehicle Repairs	new construction or maintenance, 25
double taxation, 19, 40	Post Production Costs
estimates separated, 18	order 94 payments, 89
Software Services, 17	Predominant Use
Maid services	electricity, 39
real property services, 20	Premium Tax Rate
Maintenance	foreign companies, 77
sale for resale, 28	Premiums
utility poles, 24	home warranty insurance, 85
Manufacturing Exemption	Prizes
alteration property, 29	amusement tax v. sales tax, 36
burden of proof, 55	cost of taxable, 73
candy manufacturing, 50	Promotional materials
coal mining operations, 54, 62	nexus, 18, 26, 31, 32, 33, 34
contractor, 75	ownership of, 19, 27, 28, 31, 32
electricity, 42	Proof
intraplant transportation, 17, 41, 59, 71	burden in administrative hearing, 39
non-taxable services, 103	Push-down accounting
oil well services, 55	depreciation, 105
packaging, 29	merger, 5
pipe, 71	Real Property Repair and Remodeling, 52
pollution control, 59	new construction, pollution control, 72
post-mix machines, 43	vs. maintenance, 24
rolling stock, 59	Real property service
sale for resale, 29, 40, 66	maid service, 20
Mixed drinks, 100	Remodeling
MILACU ULIIIKS, 100	Kemodeniig

```
ships, 65
Rule making
        authority of Comptroller, 51
S Corporation
        exempt shareholder, 9
Sale for resale
        blanket resale certificates, 32
        cable equipment, 70
        computer software, 15
        detrimental reliance, 24
        double taxation, 40
        federal contractor, 21, 22, 31, 37, 43, 47, 48,
                 49, 54, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 72
        incidental lease, 30
        manufacturing exemption, 40
        telecommunications equipment, 62, 66
Sample audits
        compliance with procedures, 35, 36
        sample audit, 38
        timely exemption certificates, 49
Sampling Technique
        sales tax, 36
        validity, 36, 38, 92
Service Charges
        gratuities, 94
Successor liability, 53
        business interference, 97
Surplus Lines Insurer
        unauthorized insurance tax, 78, 79, 81, 84
Taxable Surplus
        contra-asset accounts, 5
        impairment calculation, 5
        natural gas company, 7
Taxable Value
        presumption, 89
Telecommunication Services
        accounts receivable, 14
        liability for tax, 99
        networking services, 14, 66
        satellite broadcasting, 23
        TIF assessment, 99
Telecommunications equipment
        transfer of care, custody, and control of
                 equipment, 53
Temporary Workers
        computer services, 46
Texas investments, 77
        bank balances, 105
        mortgage pools, 78
Third Party Administration
        ERISA, 82
Throwback Rule
        P.L. 86-272, 8
```

money validators, 44

Vending Machine Sales

Waste Removal

real property services, 17